

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Institution Profile

(Data Source: Muskingum University)

The mission of Muskingum University is to offer quality academic programs in the liberal arts and sciences in the setting of a residential, coeducational, church-related college and in the context of a caring community where individual fulfillment is encouraged and human dignity is respected. Its primary purpose is to develop intellectually, spiritually, socially and physically whole persons, by fostering critical thinking, positive action, ethical sensitivity and spiritual growth, so that they may lead vocationally productive, personally satisfying and socially responsible lives.

Educator Preparation Provider

Muskingum's purpose is to educate students through quality academic programs that prepare them to lead vocationally productive, personally satisfying, and socially responsible lives. The Department of Education offers a range of licensure and degree opportunities at undergraduate and graduate levels. Our faculty consists of professional educators known for teaching excellence, extensive professional experience, and innovative scholarship. For mutual benefit we work closely with LEAs, state agencies and IHEs on initiatives to enhance our programs for MU teacher and administrator candidates.

Report Overview

The Ohio Department of Higher Education gathers data annually from multiple sources to report the following performance metrics in the Educator Preparation Provider Performance Reports:

- Ohio Teacher Evaluation System Results for Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Ohio Principal Evaluation System Results for Ohio Principals Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Field and Clinical Experiences Required by Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Candidates
- Licensure Test Results for Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Program Completers
- Value-added Data for K-12 Students Taught by Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Demographic Information for Schools in Which Ohio Educator Preparation Provider-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve
- Academic Measures Used to Inform Admissions Decisions at Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Pre-Service Teacher Candidates Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Ohio Resident Educators Who Were Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers
- Survey Results of Ohio Principal Interns Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Mentors Serving Principal Interns Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Ohio Educator Preparation Provider National Accreditation Status
- Persistence in the Ohio Resident Educator Program of Teachers Who Were Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers
- Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

**Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Results for Ohio Teachers Prepared
by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider at Muskingum University**

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019
(Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating teachers (Ohio's Teacher Evaluation System) provides educators with a detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement. The system is research-based and designed to be transparent, fair, and adaptable to the specific contexts of Ohio's school districts. Furthermore, it builds on what educators know about the importance of ongoing assessment and feedback as a powerful vehicle to support improved practice. Teacher performance and student academic growth are the two key components of Ohio's evaluation system.

An apparent dip in evaluations for the most recent "Initial License Effective Year" cohort comes from the perception that any given year's evaluation results is actually a chronological view of evaluations. Rather, it's a view of the evaluations from that school year, showing four different cohorts of licensed educators. The most recently licensed cohort will eventually have more evaluation results in its second year as more educators find employment as teachers or principals.

Limitations of the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Data:

1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018.
2. The teacher evaluation data in this report are provided by the Ohio Department of Education.
3. Due to ORC 3333.041(B), annual results must be masked for institutions with fewer than 10 completers with OTES data.

Associated Teacher Evaluation Classifications				
Initial Licensure Effective Year	# Accomplished	# Skilled	# Developing	# Ineffective
2015	28	33	N<10	N<10
2016	10	29	N<10	N<10
2017	16	30	N<10	N<10
2018	N<10	22	N<10	N<10

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

**Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) Results for Individuals
Completing Principal Preparation Programs at Muskingum University**

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019
(Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating principals (Ohio's Principal Evaluation System) provides building leaders with a detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement.

The Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) data reported here are limited in that the information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018.

An apparent dip in evaluations for the most recent "Initial License Effective Year" cohort comes from the perception that any given year's evaluation results is actually a chronological view of evaluations. Rather, it's a view of the evaluations from that school year, showing four different cohorts of licensed educators. The most recently licensed cohort will eventually have more evaluation results in its second year as more educators find employment as teachers or principals.

Associated Principal Evaluation Classifications				
Initial Licensure Effective Year	# Accomplished	# Skilled	# Developing	# Ineffective
2015	N<10	N<10	N<10	N<10
2016	N<10	N<10	N<10	N<10
2017	N<10	N<10	N<10	N<10

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

Field and Clinical Experiences for Candidates at Muskingum University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019

(Data Source: Muskingum University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that educator candidates complete field and clinical experiences in school settings as part of their preparation. These experiences include early and ongoing field-based opportunities and the culminating pre-service clinical experience commonly referred to as "student teaching." The specific requirements beyond the requisite statewide minimums for these placements vary by institution and by program. The information below is calculated based on data reported by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers.

Teacher Preparation Programs	
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements
Require edTPA National Scoring from candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	N
Minimum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	103
Maximum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	219
Average number of weeks required to teach full-time within the student teaching experience at the institution	14
Percentage of teacher candidates who satisfactorily completed student teaching	100%

Principal Preparation Programs	
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements
Total number of field/clinical weeks required of principal candidates in internship	52
Number of candidates who started internship	12
Number of candidates who completed internship	11
Percentage of principal candidates who satisfactorily completed internship	91.67%

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

Ohio Educator Licensure Examination Pass Rates at Muskingum University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018
(Data Source: USDOE Title II Report)

Description of Data:

Ohio educator licensure requirements include passage of all requisite licensure examinations at the state determined cut score. The reported results reflect Title II data, and therefore represent pass rate data solely for initial licenses. Further, because the data are gathered from the Title II reports, there is a one year lag in accessing the data. Teacher licensure pass rate data are the only reported metric for which the data do not reflect the reporting year 2018-2019.

As of 2013, the Ohio Assessments for Educators replaced the Praxis subject assessments for initial licensure. Some Praxis II assessments are still being reported because recent program completers took those tests in or before 2013.

Teacher Licensure Tests	
Summary Rating: EFFECTIVE	
Completers Tested	Pass Rate
64	100%

Ohio Principal Licensure Examination Pass Rates at Muskingum University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019
(Data Source: Muskingum University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that principal candidates pass the requisite state examination to be recommended for licensure. The 2018-2019 program completer pass rates are reported by each Ohio educator preparation provider.

Principal Licensure Tests	
Completers Tested	Pass Rate
11	100%

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Value-Added Data for Students Taught by Teachers Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers at Muskingum University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019

Description of Data:

Ohio's value-added data system provides information on student academic gains. As a vital component of Ohio's accountability system, districts and educators have access to an extensive array of diagnostic data through the Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS). Schools can demonstrate through value-added data that many of their students are achieving significant progress. Student growth measures also provide students and parents with evidence of the impact of their efforts. Educators and schools further use value-added data to inform instructional practices.

Limitations of the Value-Added Data:

1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018.
2. The value-added data in this report are those reported by Ohio's Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS) based on Elementary and Middle School Tests (Grades 4-8) and End-of-Course Tests for high school credit.
3. For Educator Preparation Providers with fewer than 10 linked teachers or principals with value-added data, only the number (N) is reported.

Value-Added Data for Muskingum University-Prepared Teachers

Initial Licensure Effective Years 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018		Associated Value-Added Classifications				
Employed as Teachers	Teachers with Value- Added Data	Most Effective	Above Average	Average	Approaching Average	Least Effective
205	81	N=3 4%	N=3 4%	N=34 42%	N=17 21%	N=24 30%

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Demographic Information for Schools where Muskingum University-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve

Teachers Serving by School Level

Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Level
N=21	N=21	N/A	N=37	N=2
26%	26%	N/A	46%	2%

Teachers Serving by School Type

Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center	Career-Tech	No School Type
N=7	N=72	N/A	N=1	N=1	N/A
9%	89%	N/A	1%	1%	N/A

Teachers Serving by Overall Letter Grade of Building Value-Added

A	B	C	D	F	NR
N=11	N=16	N=12	N=2	N=37	N=3
14%	20%	15%	2%	46%	4%

Teachers Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles

High Minority	Medium-High Minority	Medium-Low Minority	Low Minority	No Minority Quartile
N=7	N=14	N=21	N=37	N=2
9%	17%	26%	46%	2%

Teachers Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles

High Poverty	Medium-High Poverty	Medium-Low Poverty	Low Poverty	No Poverty Quartile
N=27	N=25	N=23	N=4	N=2
33%	31%	28%	5%	2%

* Due to the preliminary nature of the data and staffing at ESC/district level, certain demographic variables have not been reported for some schools.

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Value-Added Data for Muskingum University-Prepared Principals

Initial Licensure Effective Years 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018		Principals Serving by Letter Grade of Overall Building Value-Added				
Employed as Principals	Principals with Value-Added Data	A	B	C	D	F
N<10	N<10	N<10 N/A	N<10 N/A	N<10 N/A	N/A N/A	N<10 N/A

Demographic Information for Schools where Muskingum University-Prepared Principals with Value-Added Data Serve

Principals Serving by School Level				
Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Level
N<10	N<10	N/A	N<10	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principals Serving by School Type					
Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center	Career-Tech	No School Type
N/A	N<10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principals Serving by Overall Letter Grade of School					
A	B	C	D	F	NR
N/A	N<10	N<10	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principals Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles				
High Minority	Medium-High Minority	Medium-Low Minority	Low Minority	No Minority Quartile
N<10	N/A	N<10	N<10	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

Principals Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles				
High Poverty	Medium-High Poverty	Medium-Low Poverty	Low Poverty	No Poverty Quartile
N/A	N<10	N<10	N<10	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Muskingum University Candidate Academic Measures

(Data Source: Muskingum University)
Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019

Undergraduate Admission Requirements

Candidates complete an application. The candidate's name goes on the Cohort Admission form once all requirements are met including scores to establish cohort average on the nationally normed standardized assessment at the lowest national average (no individual minimum score). The Education faculty review and vote on the candidates. Candidates receive a letter indicating the department's decision regarding acceptance.

Post-Baccalaureate Admission Requirements

Candidates complete an application. The candidate's name goes on the Cohort Admission form once all requirements are met including scores to establish cohort average on the nationally normed standardized assessment at the lowest national average (no individual minimum score). The Education faculty review and vote on the candidates. Candidates receive a letter indicating the department's decision regarding acceptance.

Graduate Admission Requirements

Candidates complete an application. The candidate's name goes on the Cohort Admission form once all requirements are met including scores to establish cohort average on the nationally normed standardized assessment at the lowest national average (no individual minimum score). The Education faculty review and vote on the candidates. Candidates receive a letter indicating the department's decision regarding acceptance.

Description of Data:

The data in this section are the average scores of candidates on academic measures reported by the provider. If a measure is not applicable to a level of delivery (undergraduate, post-baccalaureate, graduate) the table reflects "N/A". In the "Dispositional Assessments and Other Measures" portion, if the provider did not indicate using a measure, OR if the institution does not offer a program at the designated level of delivery, the table reflects "N".

Candidates Admitted are the number admitted in the most recent year.

Candidates Enrolled are the total number of candidates enrolled.

Candidates Completing are the number completing in the most recent year.

Teacher Preparation Programs

U=Undergraduate P=Post-Baccalaureate G=Graduate

Academic Measure	Required Score	Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
		Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
ACT Composite Score	U=21 P=21 G=21	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=79 P=N<10 G=10	U=23.27 P=N<10 G=24	U=25 P=N<10 G=13	U=22.52 P=N<10 G=24.38
ACT English Subscore	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
ACT Math Subscore	U=20 P=20 G=20	U=57 P=N<10 G=48	U=21.23 P=N<10 G=20.88	U=79 P=N<10 G=51	U=21.22 P=N<10 G=20.1	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=19	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=19.21
ACT Reading Subscore	U=21 P=21 G=21	U=57 P=N<10 G=46	U=23.6 P=N<10 G=23.28	U=78 P=N<10 G=47	U=23.44 P=N<10 G=23.11	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=19	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=19.37

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

		Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
GPA - Graduate	U=N/A P=N/A G= 3.00	U=N/A P=N/A G=60	U=N/A P=N/A G= 3.86	U=N/A P=N/A G=79	U=N/A P=N/A G= 3.83	U=N/A P=N/A G=38	U=N/A P=N/A G= 3.92
GPA - High School	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
GPA - Transfer	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
GPA - Undergraduate	U= 3.00 P= 3.00 G=N/A	U=60 P=N<10 G=N/A	U= 3.55 P=N<10 G=N/A	U=168 P=N<10 G=N/A	U= 3.51 P=N<10 G=N/A	U=29 P=N<10 G=N/A	U= 3.66 P=N<10 G=N/A
GRE Composite Score	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
GRE Quantitative Subscore	U=N/A P=134 G=134	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10
GRE Verbal Subscore	U=N/A P=138 G=138	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N/A P=N<10 G=N<10
GRE Writing Subscore	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
MAT	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
Praxis CORE Math	U=150 P=150 G=150	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10
Praxis CORE Reading	U=156 P=156 G=156	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=11	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=179	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10
Praxis CORE Writing	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
Praxis I Math	U=170 P=170 G=172	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10
Praxis I Reading	U=170 P=170 G=173	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10
Praxis I Writing	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
Praxis II	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
SAT Composite Score	U=990 P=990 G=990	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10
SAT Quantitative Subscore	U=527 P=527 G=527	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10
SAT Verbal Subscore	U=533 P=533 G=533	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10	U=N<10 P=N<10 G=N<10
SAT Writing Subscore	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A G=N/A
Other Criteria		Undergraduate		Post-Baccalaureate		Graduate	
Dispositional Assessment		Y		Y		Y	

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

Other Criteria	Undergraduate	Post-Baccalaureate	Graduate
EMPATHY/Omaha Interview	N	N	N
Essay	N	N	N
High School Class Rank	N/A	N/A	N/A
Interview	N	N	N
Letter of Commitment	N	N	N
Letter of Recommendation	N	N	Y
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator	N/A	N	N
OAE Content Assessment	N/A	N/A	N
Portfolio	N	N	N
Prerequisite Courses	Y	Y	Y
SRI Teacher Perceiver	N/A	N/A	N
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship	N/A	N/A	N
Teacher Insight	N	N	N

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Principal Program Admission Requirements

Candidates complete an application, pay the registration fee, interview with GCS Adviser, & provide the following: 3 letters of recommendation, official transcript, and a copy of his/her current teaching license. They are placed on the GCS Accepted list which notifies the Assessment Specialist to add the candidates name to the Application for Admission to the Principal Program. The Education faculty review candidates who have met the requirements on Blackboard.

Principal Preparation Programs

		Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
GPA - Undergraduate	2.00	10	3.14	26	3.28	11	3.64
SAT Quantitative Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Writing Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Math Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT English Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MAT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Writing Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GPA - High School	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Verbal Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GPA - Graduate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Reading Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Math	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Verbal Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis II	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Quantitative Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

Other Criteria	
Dispositional Assessment	N
EMPATHY/Omaha Interview	N
Essay	N
Interview	N
Letter of Commitment	N
Letter of Recommendation	Y
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator	N
Portfolio	N
Prerequisite Courses	N
SRI Teacher Perceiver	N
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship	N
Teacher Insight	N

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Pre-Service Teacher Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019

Description of Data:

To gather information on student satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio candidates receive an invitation to complete the survey during their professional internship (student teaching). The results of this survey are reflected here. A total of 3,156 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 70 percent.

Muskingum University Survey Response Rate = 72.81%

Total Survey Responses = 83

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.64	3.52
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.58	3.34
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.46	3.39
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.58	3.48
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.53	3.44
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.69	3.67
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	3.71	3.47
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	3.73	3.51
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	3.71	3.57
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	3.71	3.46
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.63	3.41
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.72	3.60
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.55	3.31
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.73	3.57

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	3.71	3.56
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.78	3.70
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.73	3.57
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate high expectations for all students.	3.77	3.65
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.70	3.52
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.77	3.74
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.61	3.42
22	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.77	3.53
23	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.71	3.53
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	3.54	3.26
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	3.45	3.11
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	3.34	3.01
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.65	3.38
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	3.55	3.24
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.72	3.64
30	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	3.41	2.97
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.71	3.68
32	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.66	3.42
33	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.75	3.69
34	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.77	3.68
35	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.75	3.64
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.67	3.54

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.71	3.52
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.55	3.30
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	3.57	3.33
40	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.60	3.36
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.71	3.66
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.63	3.55
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.72	3.66
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.71	3.56
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.70	3.54
46	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.72	3.67
47	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.55	3.43
48	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	3.51	3.22
49	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.60	3.44

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Statewide Survey of Ohio Resident Educators' Reflections on their Educator Preparation Program

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019

Description of Data:

To gather information on alumni satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio Resident Educators who completed their preparation in Ohio receive an invitation to complete the survey in the fall semester as they enter Year 2 of the Resident Educator program. A total of 399 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 12 percent.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.20	3.43
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.10	3.16
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.40	3.30
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.50	3.40
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.40	3.31
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.50	3.53
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	3.40	3.40
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	3.10	3.41
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	3.50	3.44
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	3.50	3.32
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.20	3.25
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.50	3.38
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.20	3.15

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.50	3.46
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	3.50	3.43
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.50	3.57
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.50	3.43
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.30	3.31
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.50	3.57
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.20	3.31
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.40	3.41
22	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.00	3.43
23	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	3.50	3.18
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	3.00	3.08
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	3.00	3.08
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.40	3.27
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	3.30	3.10
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.50	3.43
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	3.00	2.71
30	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.30	3.56
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.50	3.32
32	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.40	3.54
33	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.40	3.51
34	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.40	3.51
35	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.40	3.33

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.40	3.33
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.40	3.18
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	3.50	3.22
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.50	3.25
40	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.50	3.55
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.50	3.47
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.50	3.54
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.50	3.45
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.50	3.43
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.50	3.57
46	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.50	3.40
47	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	3.50	3.23
48	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.40	3.36
49	My teacher licensure program prepared me with the knowledge and skills necessary to enter the classroom as a Resident Educator.	3.40	3.34

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Principal Intern Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019

Description of Data:

To gather information on the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation providers, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 392 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 41 percent.

Muskingum University Survey Response Rate = 58.33%

Total Survey Responses = 7

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My program prepared me to lead and facilitate continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	N<10	3.53
2	My program prepared me to lead the processes of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	N<10	3.53
3	My program prepared me to anticipate, monitor, and respond to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	N<10	3.53
4	My program prepared me to lead instruction.	N<10	3.50
5	My program prepared me to ensure the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (e.g. national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	N<10	3.54
6	My program prepared me to ensure effective instructional practices meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	N<10	3.54
7	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of data by self and staff.	N<10	3.54
8	My program prepared me to advocate for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	N<10	3.56
9	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of research by self and staff.	N<10	3.51
10	My program prepared me to support staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development and instructional practices.	N<10	3.56
11	My program prepared me to establish and maintain procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	N<10	3.61
12	My program prepared me to establish and maintain a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	N<10	3.56
13	My program prepared me to allocate resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	N<10	3.48
14	My program prepared me to uphold and model professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct	N<10	3.65
15	My program prepared me to share leadership with staff, students, parents, and community members.	N<10	3.66

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
16	My program prepared me to establish effective working teams and developing structures for collaboration between teachers and educational support personnel.	N<10	3.64
17	My program prepared me to foster positive professional relationships among staff.	N<10	3.66
18	My program prepared me to support and advance the leadership capacity of educators.	N<10	3.63
19	My program prepared me to utilize good communication skills, both verbal and written, with all stakeholder audiences.	N<10	3.66
20	My program prepared me to connect the school with the community through print and electronic media.	N<10	3.44
21	My program prepared me to involve parents and communities in improving student learning.	N<10	3.50
22	My program prepared me to use community resources to improve student learning.	N<10	3.46
23	My program prepared me to establish expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	N<10	3.51

2019 Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Muskingum University

Principal Internship Mentor Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019

Description of Data:

To gather information on the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to individuals who serve as mentors to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 190 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 21 percent.

Muskingum University Survey Response Rate = 21.43%
Total Survey Responses = 3

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading and facilitating continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	N<10	3.42
2	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading the process of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	N<10	3.41
3	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand anticipating, monitoring, and responding to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	N<10	3.40
4	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand ensuring the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (i.e., national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	N<10	3.45
5	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand ensuring effective instructional practices that meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	N<10	3.45
6	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand advocating for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities and at-risk students.	N<10	3.45
7	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of data by self and staff.	N<10	3.49
8	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of research by self and staff.	N<10	3.40
9	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand supporting staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development.	N<10	3.44
10	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	N<10	3.49
11	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	N<10	3.47
12	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand allocating resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	N<10	3.43

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
13	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand upholding and modeling professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct.	N<10	3.53
14	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand connecting the school with the community through print and electronic media.	N<10	3.38
15	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand involving parents and communities in improving student learning.	N<10	3.36
16	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand using community resources to improve student learning.	N<10	3.34
17	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	N<10	3.38
18	The school leader candidate's preparation program provided me with training on how to mentor the school leader candidate.	N<10	2.73
19	I participated in and/or accessed the provided mentor training and/or materials.	N<10	2.91
20	The training by the school leader's preparation program adequately prepared me to mentor the school leader candidate.	N<10	2.18

2019
Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report
Muskingum University

National Accreditation Status

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019
(Data Source: Ohio Department of Higher Education)

Description of Data:

All educator preparation programs (EPPs) in Ohio are required to be accredited by either the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC), or their successor agency, the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). Accreditation is a mechanism to ensure the quality of an institution and its programs. The accreditation of an institution and/or program helps employers evaluate the professional preparation of job applicants.

Accrediting Agency	CAEP
Date of Last Review	18-Apr
Accreditation Status	Accredited

[illegible]

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2018 to Aug 31, 2019
(Data Source: Muskingum University)

Description of Data:

This section reflects self-reported information from Ohio Educator Preparation Providers on a maximum of three initiatives geared to increase excellence and support innovation in the preparation of Ohio educators.

Teacher Preparation Programs

Initiative:	Appalachian Teaching Fellows
Purpose:	To prepare teacher candidates from the Appalachian region for the unique challenges teachers in the region face on a regular basis.
Goal:	To recruit and prepare quality local candidates for careers as teacher leaders so that they stay in the Appalachian region.
Number of Participants:	12
Strategy:	Muskingum University provides educational grants and mentor support on a yearly basis for two cohorts of teacher candidates who have applied to participate in the Ohio Appalachian Teaching Fellowship. The candidates are exposed to a variety of professional development experiences outside of class that focus on the unique challenges of teaching in Appalachia and help them realize the impact quality teaching can have in their home region.
External Recognition:	This initiative has been sponsored by funds from Battelle for Kids
Programs:	Undergraduate ECE, ISMM, MC, and AYA
Initiative:	Big Ideas: Trauma Informed Teacher Workshops
Purpose:	Ohio's teachers need to learn & implement trauma-informed practices to respond to the growing # of students in the state w/adverse childhood experiences.
Goal:	To prepare in-service teachers to model trauma-informed practices in the field settings of teacher candidates
Number of Participants:	150
Strategy:	The university used a "dine and discuss" model for in-service teachers to participate in a series of four interactive learning experiences led by collaborative MU faculty and district partner teams and centered around Sowers and Hall's work on trauma-informed practices. Participants were from districts where a majority of teacher candidates complete field experience in order to promote the practices that teacher candidates are learning in the classroom. Participants were given continuing education units or offered the opportunity to complete additional online reflections and submit to the university for graduate credit.
External Recognition:	This initiative was an activity sponsored by grant funds received by the Ohio Deans' Compact for Inclusive Education.
Programs:	All licensure areas

2019

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report

Muskingum University

Principal Preparation Programs

Initiative:	Assistance to pass OAE for Ed.Leadership 015
Purpose:	To respond to candidate need for test preparation. Building confidence, honing their writing skills and responding to the open ended, extended response questions was the area of most concern.
Goal:	Our goal is to provide encouragement and practice to our candidates so they feel prepared to take or re-take the test.
Number of Participants:	12
Strategy:	The process included several steps. The first step was the creation of a one-hour, non-required online course. A test preparation manual was one text. It contained pertinent vocabulary which candidates practiced for familiarity. Candidates were expected to take the practice test included in the book as a pretest and at the end as a post-test. A second text was a collection of case studies and students were asked to read and resolve four problem scenarios. A test preparation face to face session is offered for those who can attend in person or connect virtually. Questions are reviewed together, and candidates respond verbally to the questions, providing rationale for their answer selection. They also review and report their scores on the test materials found on the OAE Test Website. The result has been that people feel much more prepared to take the test and our passage rate has risen.
Demonstration of Impact:	11 of 12 test takers passed on the first attempt.
External Recognition:	Not external recognition, but our students are very excited when they receive their scores and can barely wait to share their results with us.