

OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS

HANDBOOK

**State Share of Instruction Methodology
for FY 2005**

**Am. Sub. H. B. 95, as Enacted
125th General Assembly**

**To be used to calculate the
FY 2005 State Share of Instruction earnings**

November 2004

Ohio Board of Regents
State Share of Instruction Calculation
FY 2005
Am. Sub. H.B. 95, As Enacted
November 2004

Preface

This edition of the Handbook is intended to assist the review and calculation of the FY 2004 and FY 2005 State Share of Instruction (formerly known as the Instructional Subsidy) distributions, based on the provisions of Am. Sub. H.B. 95 of the 125th General Assembly, and updated enrollment and POM data.

Institutional detail for each campus is provided in a separate document, which lists the base subsidy, adjustments to the base subsidy, and the subsidy rates per subsidy-eligible full-time equivalent student (FTE) for each year of the biennium. Plant operation and maintenance (POM) rates, POM allocations, weights, FTEs, and net assignable square feet are also listed on the institutional detail pages.

The data provided in this handbook, along with the FTE and other data supplied in the institutional detail report, should permit each campus to replicate the calculations performed centrally. Additionally, information on the calculation of the “hold harmless” guarantee may be found on Table 9 titled “FY 2005 Calculation of the Annual Guarantee, Hold Harmless” found in the Appendix.

Due to rounding, not all quantities can be replicated precisely.

Introduction

Major Changes in FY 2004 - FY 2005 Subsidy Components

A number of major changes have been incorporated into the State Share of Instruction formula for FYs 2004 and 2005.

(1) *Implementation of the Graduate Funding Commission Recommendations.* The Graduate Funding Commission (GFC) recommended a change in the method by which doctoral education is funded. Beginning in FY 2000, instead of the FTE-based system that had historically been in place, the GFC recommended that a specified percentage of the State Share of Instruction be set aside for doctoral programs. The GFC also recommended that the amounts set aside be distributed to universities in proportion to each campus's share of base doctoral I equivalent FTEs. Each campus's base doctoral I equivalents equal the greater of the two-year or a five-year FTE average, with FY 1998 all-terms FTEs being the terminal year for these averages. (Data for the other years used in the averages are annualized FTEs.) The Commission recommended that 10.94% of the State Share of Instruction be set aside in each year of the biennium, and further recommended that the percentage set aside be reduced to reflect the effects of the doctoral review decisions made earlier in the decade. **After adjusting for doctoral review, the Graduate Funding Commission recommended that 10.34% of the State Share of Instruction be set-aside in each year of the FY 2004-2005 biennium. The FY 2004-FY 2005 budget bill does indeed set aside 10.34% of the State Share of Instruction in each fiscal year. However, due to a revision to one university's historical doctoral FTEs, the doctoral set-aside has been reduced to 10.18% for FY 2004 and FY 2005.**

In FY 2005, the 85% rule is applied to three universities. This rule reduces the share of doctoral I equivalent FTEs for universities having two- or five-year average doctoral I equivalent FTEs at least 15% less than their FY 1998 doctoral I equivalent FTEs (the terminal year in base doctoral I equivalent FTE averages). The reduction in the share of doctoral I equivalent FTEs for each affected campus is contingent upon the variance between its FY 1998 doctoral I equivalent FTEs and the greater of the two- and five-year average. This adjustment is included in the doctoral subsidy calculation in Table 2 of the attached Appendix. The application of the 85% rule has the effect of reducing the total FY 2005 doctoral allocation by \$1,006,830, which is redistributed through the general SSI formula.

If sufficient funds are available, H.B. 95 allows 2 percent of the doctoral set-aside to be reserved for future distribution to universities on the basis of the quality review specified in the recommendations of the Graduate Funding Commission. However, it has been determined that funds are not sufficient to redistribute funds for this purpose.

(2) *Calculation of the “Hold Harmless” Guarantee:* The “hold harmless” guarantee provides an assurance to each campus that it will receive a certain level of SSI funds. H.B. 95 stipulates that the FY 2005 guarantee be “99% of 99%” ensuring that each campus’s FY 2005 SSI allocation will be at least 98.01% of the FY 2004 allocation. However, one formula adjustment caused some campuses to receive less than their guaranteed amount in FY 2005: Because total formula earnings exceed the available FY 2005 appropriation by 0.66%, each campus’s SSI earnings were proportionately reduced by 0.66% (see item #5 in the next section of this handbook).

(3) *FY 2001 Resource Analysis Rates Are Phased in Over Two Years.* Consistent with the recommendations of the Higher Education Funding Commission, the FY 2001 Resource Analysis model allowances are phased in over two years. The allowances used for the FY 2005 SSI formula equal the average of the allowances used in the FY 2003 subsidy plus the FY 2001 Resource Analysis allowances, both inflated to FY 2005 costs.

(4) *Phasing-in of the New Activity-Based POM Weight.* The 2002 SSI Consultation recommended the continuation of a policy implemented in the previous biennium, whereby the activity-based POM weight is determined by each institution’s sponsored research and job-related expenditures as a percentage of total Instructional & General Expenditures, each weighed by 1.0, and that the new weight be phased in over 5 years. The phasing-in of the new activity-based POM is to occur concurrently with the phasing-out of the NASF weight. FY 2005 is the fourth year of this five-year phase-in.

(5) *Phasing-out of the NASF POM Weight.* Since 1995, the square-foot-based subsidy was weighted by a measure of campus activity – primarily enrollments. Because this NASF weight was outdated (it is based on enrollment patterns that are more than 7 years old), and because activity is fully recognized in the activity-based POM subsidy, the Consultation recommended that the square-foot weights be phased out over 5 years, beginning in FY 2002. FY 2005 is the fourth year of this five-year phase-out.

FY 2004 - FY 2005 State Share of Instruction: Executive Summary

For any given fiscal year, the **base SSI subsidy** is the greater of the subsidy sums of the two calculations (two-year FTEs or five-year FTEs) for all models other than the doctoral levels, plus the doctoral allocation. The base subsidy may be altered by up to five **adjustments**: the POM adjustment, the annual guarantee, the adjustment for the negative capital component deduction (if any), the adjustment for late space changes (if any), and a proportionate across-the-board reduction should system-wide earnings exceed available appropriations.

Adjustments to the Base Subsidy

(1) POM Adjustment.

The POM component of the **base subsidy** represents the enrollment-driven (activity-based) POM earnings, weighted for sponsored research and job training activity as described later in this Handbook. If the activity-based POM earnings are less than the square-foot based earnings, the activity-based POM earnings are increased to equal the square-foot based POM earnings. This augmentation would appear as a positive number in the POM Adjustment row on the first page of the institutional detail.

In past biennia, activity-based POM earnings were capped to some percentage of the square-foot based earnings. This adjustment would appear as a negative number in the POM Adjustment row. As of FY 2002, enrollment-driven POM earnings are no longer capped.

(2) The Guarantee.

The calculation of the hold harmless guarantee involves several steps. The first major step is the calculation of the base subsidy to be used to determine the FY 2005 guarantee level.

To determine whether the campus is on the guarantee in FY 2005, compare the amount of the FY 2005 State Share to the final FY 2004 SSI. If the FY 2005 amount is more than 98.01% of the final FY 2004 amount, the campus receives that amount, and is not on the guarantee. If the FY 2005 amount is less than 98.01%, the campus's FY 2005 SSI allocation is increased to the extent necessary to equal 98.01% of the FY 2004 amount, and is on the guarantee.

The application of the guarantee is provided on a separate table (Calculation of the Annual Hold Harmless Guarantee) for FY 2005, found on Table 9 in the Appendix of this document.

(3) Negative Capital Component Adjustment.

The State Share of Instruction may be **reduced** for negative adjustments that are the result of the implementation of the Regents' incentive-based capital funding policy. (Please see Table 8 of this document.) As part of this policy, campuses with debt service costs (for qualifying capital projects) that exceed their formula-determined capital allocation have the difference deducted from their State Share of Instruction allocation. Pursuant to the recommendations of the SSI Consultation and the Higher Education Funding Commission, funds from this capital deduction are to be transferred to the

Capital Component line item in FY 2004 and FY 2005. This transfer amounts to \$366,414 in each fiscal year and allows the Capital Component to be fully funded.

(4) Late Space Adjustment

The State Share of Instruction may be reduced for late space changes that do not occur. This adjustment will affect few campuses, if any. It involves space changes that were projected to occur in December of the prior year. If the projected space change affected a campus's subsidy, and if the change did not actually occur, the adjustment is made in the following year to correct for the overpayment.

(5) System-wide Reduction

Should total system-wide SSI earnings exceed available appropriations, the SSI allocation for all campuses will be reduced proportionately to the extent necessary to make earnings equal appropriations.

Note on Medical II Buffering

The Medical II State Share of Instruction calculations retain the base buffering concept employed in the FY 2000 - FY 2001 SSI. For FY 2004 and FY 2005, the Medical II base enrollments are as follows:

Ohio State University	1,010
University of Cincinnati	833
Medical College of Ohio	650
Wright State University	433
Ohio University	433
Northeast Ohio Universities COM	433

For medical schools with current year enrollments (including students repeating terms) less than the base enrollment level, the enrollments used in calculating the Medical II subsidy will equal 65% of the base enrollments plus 35% of the current year enrollments. For medical schools with current year enrollments (excluding Students repeating terms) equal to or greater than the base enrollment, the Medical II enrollment shall equal the base enrollment plus the FTE for repeating students. Students repeating terms may comprise no more than 5% of the current year enrollments.

Limitations on Subsidized Law School FTEs – (Continuation of Current Policy)

In both FY 2004 and FY 2005, the number of subsidy-eligible law school FTEs at each campus equals the **lesser** of FY 1995 law FTEs or the actual number of law FTEs at the institution in the most recent fiscal year for which enrollment data is available.

I. BASE SUBSIDY: INSTRUCTION AND SUPPORT

1. For all models except doctoral I and II, determine by model the average subsidy-eligible FTEs based on the two-year period and the average subsidy-eligible FTEs based on the five-year period. In FY 2005, the two-year average includes FY 2003 and FY 2005 all-terms FTEs, while the five-year average includes all-terms FTEs for fiscal years 2000 through 2004.
2. Calculate the instruction and support subsidy using the following formula and the allowances, local contributions, and conversion factors listed in the next two tables.

FY 2005 Subsidy, Two-Year Average: Calculate amounts by model and sum all models (excluding doctoral models) for each campus.

Instruction and Support Subsidy =
[(FY03 all-terms FTEs + FY04 all-terms FTEs) ÷ 2]
× (FY2005 Instructional & Support Allowance - FY2005 Local Contribution)

FY 2005 Subsidy, Five -Year Average: Calculate amounts by model and sum all models (excluding doctoral models) for each campus.

Instruction and Support Subsidy =
[(FY00 + FY01 + FY02 + FY03 + FY04 all-terms FTEs) × (FY 2005 Instructional & Support Allowance - FY 2005 Local Contribution)]

Divide the sum by 5 to obtain the five-year average.

FY 2005 INSTRUCTION AND SUPPORT SUBSIDY PER FTE

MODEL	INSTRUCTION & SUPPORT ALLOWANCE	LOCAL CONTRIBUTION	NET SUBSIDY PER FTE
GS I	\$4,983	(\$5,398)	(\$415)
GS II	\$5,336	(\$5,398)	(\$62)
GS III	\$7,120	(\$5,398)	\$1,722
T I	\$6,137	(\$5,398)	\$739
T III	\$10,026	(\$5,398)	\$4,628
B I	\$7,721	(\$6,811)	\$910
B II	\$8,864	(\$6,811)	\$2,053
B III	\$12,932	(\$6,811)	\$6,121
M&P I	\$18,000	(\$13,530)	\$4,470
M&P II	\$22,141	(\$13,530)	\$8,611
M&P III	\$28,190	(\$13,530)	\$14,660
<i>Doc I*</i>	\$28,027	(\$13,530)	\$14,497
<i>Doc II*</i>	\$28,891	(\$13,530)	\$15,361
MED I	\$31,819	(\$16,384)	\$15,435
MED II	\$41,960	(\$21,701)	\$20,259
Blended MPD1	\$14,966	(\$13,530)	\$1,436

*Doctoral I and II data are provided for historical comparison purposes only. Doctoral subsidy is not determined by the rates shown above.

II. BASE SUBSIDY: STUDENT SERVICES

1. For all models except doctoral I and II, determine by model the average subsidy-eligible FTEs based on the two-year period and the average subsidy-eligible FTEs based on the five-year period. (This step is identical to the calculation described in section I. 1 above.)

2. For each of the two FTE variants use the student services subsidy per FTE listed below.

MODEL	Student Services – FY 2005
GS I	\$903
GS II	\$903
GS III	\$903
T I	\$903
T III	\$903
B I	\$903
B II	\$903
B III	\$903
M&P I	\$903
M&P II	\$903
M&P III	\$903
<i>Doc I*</i>	<i>\$903</i>
<i>Doc II*</i>	<i>\$903</i>
MED I	\$903
MED II	\$903
Blended MPD1	\$903

*Doctoral I and II data are provided for historical comparison purposes only. Doctoral subsidy is not determined by the rates shown above

3. Calculate the Student Services subsidy for each model by using the following formulas:

FY 2005 Subsidy, Two-Year Average: Calculate amounts by model and sum all models (excluding doctoral models) for each campus.

$[(FY03 + FY04 \text{ all-terms FTEs}) \div 2] \times \text{Student Services Weight} \times \text{FY05 Student Services Subsidy Per FTE}$

FY 2005 Subsidy, Five-Year Average: Calculate amounts by model and sum all models (excluding doctoral models) for each campus.

$(\text{FY00} + \text{FY01} + \text{FY02} + \text{FY03} + \text{FY04} \text{ all-terms FTEs}) \times (\text{Student Services Weight} \times \text{FY05 Student Services Subsidy Per FTE})$

Divide the sum by 5 to obtain the five-year average.

Note: The Student Services Weights (the modified headcount:FTE ratio) for all campuses are listed in Column A of Table 1 in the appendix.

<p style="text-align: center;">III. BASE SUBSIDY: ACTIVITY-BASED PLANT OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</p>

1. For all models except doctoral I and II, determine by model the average subsidy-eligible FTEs based on the two-year period and the average subsidy-eligible FTEs based on the five-year period. (This step is identical to the calculation described in section I. 1 above.)

2. Use the following formulas:

FY 2004 Subsidy, Two-Year Average: Calculate amounts by model and sum all models (excluding doctoral models) for each campus.

$[(FY03 + FY04 \text{ all-terms FTEs}) \div 2] \times FY 2005 \text{ POM Allowance per FTE} \times \text{POM Activity Weight}$

FY 2002 Subsidy, Five-Year Average: Calculate amounts by model and sum all models (excluding doctoral models) for each campus.

$(FY00 + FY01 + FY02 + FY03 + FY04 \text{ all-terms FTEs}) \times (FY05 \text{ POM Allowance per FTE} \times \text{POM Activity Weight})$

Divide the sum by 5 to obtain the five-year average.

The campus POM activity weights may be found in Column B of Table 1 in the appendix. The per FTE POM allowances are listed below:

POM Allowance Per FTE

POM Allowance per FTE	FY 2005
General Studies I	\$560
General Studies II	\$705
General Studies III	\$1,651
Technical I	\$806
Technical II	\$1,570
Baccalaureate I	\$706
Baccalaureate II	\$1,232
Baccalaureate III	\$1,458
Masters & Professional I	\$1,301
Masters & Professional II	\$2,688
Masters & Professional III	\$3,712
<i>Doctoral I*</i>	<i>\$2,199</i>
<i>Doctoral II*</i>	<i>\$2,873</i>
Medical I	\$2,669
Medical II	\$4,110
Blended MPD1	\$1,233

*Doctoral I and II data are provided for historical comparison purposes only. Doctoral subsidy is not determined by the rates shown above.

IV. BASE SUBSIDY: DOCTORAL ALLOCATION

This section implements the recommendations of the Graduate Funding Commission. The data may be found in Table 2 in the appendix.

For FY 2005

1. Calculate the doctoral I equivalents on an institution-wide basis using annualized subsidy-eligible FTEs for each year for the period FY 1994 through FY 1997, and all-terms subsidy-eligible FTEs for FY 1998, where:

$$\text{Doctoral I equivalent FTEs} = \text{Doctoral I FTEs} + (\text{Doctoral II FTEs} \times 1.5)$$

2. From the doctoral I equivalents calculated in step 1, for each year for the period FY 1994 through 1997, using annualized subsidy-eligible FTEs, and for FY 1998, using all-terms subsidy-eligible FTEs,

- subtract the number of FTEs in defunded programs, and
- add the number of FTEs in maturing programs.

3. From the doctoral I equivalents calculated in step 2, subtract the non-doctoral rank Business and Education Doctoral I equivalents.

4. Using the doctoral I equivalents calculated in step 3, for each university calculate the base doctoral I equivalent FTE amount, which is the greater of the following calculations for both FY 2004 and FY 2005:

$$\text{Two-year average} = (\text{FY97} + \text{FY 98}) \div 2, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Five-year average} = (\text{FY94} + \text{FY95} + \text{FY96} + \text{FY97} + \text{FY98}) \div 5$$

5. Sum the higher of the doctoral I equivalent FTE alternatives for all universities.
6. Calculate each university's share of the total doctoral I FTE equivalents, where
University A's share of total = (University A's Doctoral I FTE Equivalent Average) ÷
(Sum of All Universities Doctoral I Equivalent Averages)
7. Calculate doctoral share of the State Share of Instruction:

$$10.18\% \times \text{State Share of Instruction appropriation for FY 2005.}$$

8. Multiply for each university the percentage calculated in step 6 by the amount of money calculated in step 7 to get each university's unadjusted doctoral allocation.

9. If the base FY 1998 doctoral I equivalent FTE amount* is at least 15% more than the base amount calculated in step 4 above, then the "85% rule" should be applied. To apply this rule, each affected campus's share of doctoral I equivalent FTEs should be reduced by subtracting from 85% the quotient of the greater of the actual two- or five-year average divided by the base FTEs from step 4, where the actual two- and five-year average is:

Two-year average = $(FY02 + FY 03) \div 2$, and

Five-year average = $(FY99 + FY00 + FY01 + FY02 + FY03) \div 5$

*Note: Using the FY 1998 doctoral I equivalent FTE amount as the basis for applying the 85% rule represents a technical change made in FY 2003. Prior to FY 2003, the greater of the two- and five-year average doctoral I equivalent FTEs for the period of FY 1994 through FY 1998 was used as the basis for this rule.

V. ADJUSTMENTS TO THE BASE SUBSIDY

The **base subsidy** is the higher total subsidy sum of the two FTE variants (two-year average and five-year average) plus the doctoral allocation. The base subsidy is subject to five adjustments:

I. POM ADJUSTMENT

Each campus is guaranteed certain square-foot based POM earnings in FY 2005.

A. Calculate the space-based POM subsidy as described in the next section of the Handbook. If the space-based subsidy is greater than the activity-based POM subsidy, the POM adjustment is positive and equals the difference between the space-based POM subsidy and the activity-based POM subsidy.

B. Activity-based POM earnings are not capped beginning in FY 2002. If the activity-based POM earnings are greater than the square-foot-based earnings, the POM adjustment is zero.

CALCULATION OF SPACE-BASED POM SUBSIDY

STEP ONE:

CALCULATE TOTAL GROSS POM ALLOWANCE, PLUS ROADS & GROUNDS

a. Use the following rates per square foot for FY 2005:

	<u>FY 2005</u>
AV-DP	\$7.53
Circulation	\$7.62
Classroom	\$6.04
Labs	\$7.53
Offices	\$6.04
Storage-Mech.	\$2.68
Other	\$6.04

b. Calculate the NASF for each space subsidy category using the data listed on page two of the institutional detail, where

FY 2005 Total NASF = "FY 03 Total" + "FY 04 Changes" + "FY 05 Changes"

Note: The space data have been supplied by campus space coordinators and are listed in accompanying Tables 3 - 7. FY 2005 changes are projections, and will be updated prior to the final determination of the State Share of Instruction in each year.

c. Calculate the gross POM allowance for each space category for each year by using the following formula:

Gross POM Allowance = NASF x Rate Per Sq. Ft. x NASF-Based POM Weight

Note: The NASF-Based POM Weights for each campus are listed in Column C of Table 1 in the appendix. The weights apply to all space.

d. Sum the gross POM allowances for all space categories, plus the Roads and Grounds allocation (see Table 3).

STEP TWO:

ALLOCATE GROSS POM ALLOWANCES (PLUS ROADS AND GROUNDS) TO MODELS

a. Using the POM allocation percentages found on page two of the institutional detail, allocate the total gross POM + Roads and Grounds amounts derived in d. above by model.

(The POM allocation percentages for each year are calculated from the activity-based POM subsidy calculations using the FTE average upon which the subsidy is based (either the two-year FTE average or the five-year FTE average). The percentage for each model equals the activity-based POM subsidy for that model divided by the total activity-based POM subsidy. **The subsidy attributable to doctoral models is excluded from this calculation for the purposes of allocating POM.**)

STEP THREE:

CALCULATE THE SUBSIDY-ELIGIBLE PROPORTION OF ALLOCATED GROSS POM ALLOWANCES

Calculate the subsidy-eligible proportion of total FTEs by model for FY 2005 using actual FY 2004 FTEs. For each model the subsidy-eligible proportion is calculated as follows:

a. For each model, multiply this amounts determined in Step 2 above by the ratio of FY 2004 subsidy eligible FTEs to total FY 2004 FTEs.

c. Sum for all models, and subtract the doctoral amounts from the total. This equals the NASF POM allocation for FY 2005.

2. *ANNUAL GUARANTEE*

In FY 2005, each campus is guaranteed 98.01% of its FY 2004 State Share of Instruction funding.

3. *NEGATIVE CAPITAL COMPONENT ADJUSTMENT*

In this adjustment, State Share of Instruction earnings are reduced for those campuses for which debt service charges exceed the capital component allocation. These data are listed by campus in Table 8, and are also listed on page one of the institutional detail. This adjustment is made *after* the calculation of the annual guarantee. Pursuant to H.B. 95, deducted amounts are transferred to the Capital Component (line item 235-552).

4. *SYSTEM-WIDE REDUCTIONS IN EARNINGS*

Should total system State Share earnings exceed available appropriations, the State Share allocation for all campuses will be reduced proportionately until total earnings equal the appropriation.

VI. SUMMARY OF SUBSIDY CALCULATIONS

1. For each of the two FTE variants, sum for all models the Instruction and Support subsidy, the Student Services subsidy, and the Plant Operation and Maintenance subsidy as calculated in Sections I - III above.
2. The base subsidy is the greater of the sum of the subsidy total for each of the two FTE variants: 2-year average FTE, and 5-year average FTE, plus the doctoral allocation described in Section IV, if any.
3. The total formula subsidy is listed on the first page of the institutional detail, and is the sum of the base subsidy and the POM Adjustment.
4. The annual guarantee is “99% of 99%” or 98.01% in FY 2005. The calculation of the actual guarantee occurs in October or November 2004, after the determination of the State Share of Instruction allocation is finalized.
5. Adjust for the capital deduction and late space changes, if any.
6. If the total system-wide earnings exceed appropriations, the allocations for all campuses will be reduced proportionately.

L:\Budget-SSI\Handbook, HB95 Final FY 2005.doc
Last revised: November 2004.

Appendix A

Data Tables

Table 1: FY 2004 - FY 2005 Student Services, Activity-Based POM, and NASF POM Weights

	Column A	Column B		Column C	
	Student Service Weights	Activity-Based POM Weights		NASF POM Weights	
	FY 2004 & FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2005
AGRICULTURAL	1.047	1.025	1.028	1.020	1.010
AKRON	1.071	1.065	1.078	1.094	1.046
ASHTABULA	1.131	1.068	1.076	0.980	0.990
BELMONT TECH	1.110	1.008	1.009	1.038	1.020
BOWLING GREEN	1.034	1.024	1.028	1.064	1.033
CCC-EAST	1.304	1.020	1.010	1.051	1.026
CCC-METRO	1.232	1.020	1.010	1.040	1.020
CCC-WEST	1.228	1.082	1.092	1.097	1.048
CENTRAL OHIO	1.156	1.008	1.009	1.048	1.024
CENTRAL STATE	1.045	1.025	1.031	1.000	0.999
CHILLICOTHE	1.201	1.025	1.028	0.996	0.998
CINCINNATI	1.068	1.189	1.229	1.056	1.027
CINCINNATI STATE	1.155	1.020	1.023	1.054	1.028
CLARK STATE	1.185	1.106	1.119	1.047	1.024
CLERMONT	1.186	1.016	1.018	1.020	1.009
CLEVELAND	1.099	1.097	1.115	1.076	1.037
COLUMBUS STATE	1.185	1.066	1.074	1.100	1.050
EAST LIVERPOOL	1.131	1.034	1.038	0.982	0.991
EDISON STATE	1.179	1.071	1.080	1.048	1.025
FIRELANDS	1.158	1.013	1.014	1.003	1.002
GEAUGA	1.181	1.078	1.088	0.980	0.990
HAMILTON	1.198	1.012	1.013	1.003	1.001
HOCKING	1.097	1.002	1.002	1.080	1.040
JEFFERSON	1.130	1.046	1.052	1.048	1.025
KENT	1.055	1.071	1.085	1.046	1.022
LAKE	1.139	1.031	1.035	1.002	1.001
LAKELAND	1.209	1.027	1.030	1.078	1.040
LANCASTER	1.151	1.008	1.009	1.026	1.012
LIMA	1.078	1.042	1.047	1.037	1.018
JAMES RHODES ST.	1.109	1.038	1.043	1.060	1.030
LORAIN COUNTY	1.156	1.170	1.191	1.047	1.024
MANSFIELD	1.082	1.050	1.056	1.018	1.009
MARION	1.094	1.020	1.023	1.032	1.017
MARION TECH	1.191	1.033	1.037	1.052	1.025
MCOT	1.018	1.139	1.169	1.000	1.000
MIAMI	1.023	1.028	1.034	1.018	1.010
MIDDLETOWN	1.223	1.024	1.028	1.010	1.005
MUSKINGUM	1.166	1.018	1.021	1.031	1.016
NEOUCOM	1.000	1.076	1.092	1.015	1.008
NEWARK	1.085	1.004	1.004	1.038	1.018
NORTH CENTRAL	1.172	1.046	1.052	1.040	1.021
NORTHWEST STATE	1.163	1.027	1.031	1.064	1.032
OHIO STATE	1.031	1.198	1.240	1.028	1.013
OHIO UNIV	1.015	1.073	1.088	1.028	1.013
OU-Eastern	1.152	1.005	1.005	0.992	0.996
OU-Southern	1.203	1.021	1.023	1.020	1.010
OWENS STATE-N	1.191	1.045	1.051	1.070	1.034
OWENS STATE-S	1.206	1.032	1.036	1.021	1.011
RIO GRANDE	1.105	1.053	1.059	1.004	1.001
SALEM	1.147	1.040	1.045	0.990	0.995
SHAWNEE	1.064	1.136	1.153	1.030	1.015
SINCLAIR	1.270	1.035	1.039	1.075	1.037
SOUTHERN STATE	1.218	1.130	1.146	1.027	1.014
STARK	1.130	1.046	1.052	0.982	0.992
STARK STATE	1.158	1.077	1.086	1.053	1.026
TERRA STATE	1.202	1.151	1.170	1.071	1.036
TOLEDO	1.066	1.051	1.062	1.088	1.045
TRUMBULL	1.146	1.053	1.060	0.982	0.991
TUSCARAWAS	1.105	1.047	1.053	0.980	0.990
WALTERS	1.210	1.075	1.084	1.040	1.020
WASHINGTON STATE	1.151	1.011	1.013	1.098	1.050
WAYNE	1.178	1.064	1.072	1.013	1.007
WRIGHT	1.076	1.107	1.128	1.083	1.041
YOUNGSTOWN	1.063	1.016	1.018	1.060	1.029
ZANESVILLE	1.180	1.007	1.008	0.980	0.989

Table 2: Calculation of Doctoral Subsidy, FY 2005, @ 10.18% of SSI

UNIVERSITY	Base Doc I Equivalent FTEs*	% Share Doc I Equivalent FTEs*	Unadjusted Share of Doctoral Set Aside, FY 2005 \$158,679,525	FY 2005 Reduce for Loss of FTEs Below 15% Threshold (%)	Amount Reduced for Loss of FTEs Below 15% Threshold (%)	Adjusted Share of Doctoral Set Aside, FY 2005 Reduced for 85% rule
AKRON	760.71	6.33%	\$10,036,480	8.90%	\$893,674	\$9,142,806
BOWLING GREEN	685.48	5.70%	\$9,043,146	1.18%	\$106,512	\$8,936,634
CENTRAL STATE	0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0
CINCINNATI	2,260.65	18.80%	\$29,823,817	0.00%	\$0	\$29,823,817
CLEVELAND	172.07	1.43%	\$2,270,704	0.00%	\$0	\$2,270,704
KENT	1,002.52	8.34%	\$13,225,938	0.00%	\$0	\$13,225,938
MIAMI	436.8	3.63%	\$5,763,240	0.00%	\$0	\$5,763,240
OHIO STATE	5,076.12	42.20%	\$66,967,520	0.00%	\$0	\$66,967,520
OHIO UNIV	850.18	7.07%	\$11,215,469	0.00%	\$0	\$11,215,469
SHAWNEE	0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0
TOLEDO (A)	295.66	2.46%	\$3,900,343	0.00%	\$0	\$3,900,343
WRIGHT	339.84	2.83%	\$4,482,697	0.00%	\$0	\$4,482,697
YOUNGSTOWN	31.42	0.26%	\$414,154	0.00%	\$0	\$414,154
MCOT	116.5	0.97%	\$1,537,605	0.43%	\$6,644	\$1,530,961
NEOUCOM	0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0
Total	12,027.95	100.00%	\$158,681,113		\$1,006,830	\$157,674,283

* Base doctoral I equivalents reflect greater of 2- or 5-year average doctoral I equivalents, FY 1994 - FY 1998.

(A) UT's base doc. 1 equivalents were reduced to reflect corrections submitted by UT in FY 2003.

Table 3: FY 2004 - FY 2005 Roads and Grounds Allocation

	FY 2004	FY 2005
AGRICULTURAL	\$294,107	\$308,519
AKRON	\$669,532	\$702,339
ASHTABULA	\$77,255	\$81,041
BELMONT TECH	\$60,380	\$63,339
BOWLING GREEN	\$1,116,791	\$1,171,514
CCC-EAST	\$134,178	\$140,752
CCC-METRO	\$294,107	\$308,519
CCC-WEST	\$294,107	\$308,519
CENTRAL OHIO	\$44,808	\$47,003
CENTRAL STATE	\$294,107	\$308,519
CHILLICOTHE	\$77,255	\$81,041
CINCINNATI	\$1,116,791	\$1,171,514
CINCINNATI STATE	\$134,178	\$140,752
CLARK STATE	\$134,178	\$140,752
CLERMONT	\$77,255	\$81,041
CLEVELAND	\$294,107	\$308,519
COLUMBUS STATE	\$134,178	\$140,752
EAST LIVERPOOL	\$77,255	\$81,041
EDISON STATE	\$77,255	\$81,041
FIRELANDS	\$77,255	\$81,041
GEAUGA	\$77,255	\$81,041
HAMILTON	\$77,255	\$81,041
HOCKING	\$134,178	\$140,752
JEFFERSON	\$77,255	\$81,041
KENT	\$1,116,791	\$1,171,514
LAKE	\$77,255	\$81,041
LAKELAND	\$294,107	\$308,519
LANCASTER	\$77,255	\$81,041
LIMA	\$45,620	\$47,856
LIMA TECH	#N/A	#N/A
LORAIN COUNTY	\$294,107	\$308,519
MANSFIELD	\$50,774	\$53,262
MARION	\$37,546	\$39,386
MARION TECH	\$39,709	\$41,655
MCOT	\$294,107	\$308,519
MIAMI	\$1,116,791	\$1,171,514
MIDDLETOWN	\$77,255	\$81,041
MUSKINGUM	\$38,627	\$40,520
NEOUCOM	\$77,255	\$81,041
NEWARK	\$32,446	\$34,036
NORTH CENTRAL	\$83,404	\$87,491
NORTHWEST STATE	\$77,255	\$81,041
OHIO STATE	\$4,372,038	\$4,586,268
OHIO UNIV	\$1,116,791	\$1,171,514
OU-Eastern	\$73,798	\$77,415
OU-Southern	\$77,255	\$81,041
OWENS STATE-N	\$134,178	\$140,752
OWENS STATE-S	\$77,255	\$81,041
RIO GRANDE	\$77,255	\$81,041
SALEM	\$77,255	\$81,041
SHAWNEE	\$134,178	\$140,752
SINCLAIR	\$294,107	\$308,519
SOUTHERN STATE	\$134,178	\$140,752
STARK	\$80,507	\$84,451
STARK ST. TECH	#N/A	#N/A
TERRA STATE	\$77,255	\$81,041
TOLEDO	\$669,532	\$702,339
TRUMBULL	\$77,255	\$81,041
TUSCARAWAS	\$77,255	\$81,041
WALTERS	\$77,255	\$81,041
WASHINGTON STATE	\$77,255	\$81,041
WAYNE	\$77,255	\$81,041
WRIGHT	\$669,532	\$702,339
YOUNGSTOWN	\$669,532	\$702,339
ZANESVILLE	\$38,627	\$40,520

Table 4: FY 2003 POM Inventory - Final

	AV-DP	Circulation	Classroom	Labs	Offices	Storage-Mech	Other	Total
AGRICULTURAL	0	28,540	8,976	52,134	16,331	11,849	179,828	297,658
AKRON	39,310	530,293	204,844	493,918	518,416	243,003	446,394	2,476,178
ASHTABULA	0	19,992	19,408	22,006	14,618	13,005	37,001	126,030
BELMONT TECH	426	23,809	18,865	42,702	14,898	12,137	11,739	124,576
BOWLING GREEN	31,661	441,576	159,205	360,683	444,804	420,184	531,375	2,389,488
CCC-EAST	3,549	96,838	67,475	61,519	52,967	25,886	81,565	389,799
CCC-METRO	19,390	171,000	45,226	140,068	133,845	115,839	112,033	737,401
CCC-WEST	6,546	107,186	45,669	74,688	49,185	77,404	123,161	483,839
CENTRAL OHIO	560	29,561	16,452	31,339	24,619	12,967	22,369	137,867
CENTRAL STATE	9,537	123,697	56,794	90,032	93,641	83,316	125,704	582,721
CHILLICOTHE	761	35,259	19,140	18,699	17,285	9,626	34,034	134,804
CINCINNATI	51,962	1,128,673	266,429	1,150,302	1,082,527	771,378	701,951	5,153,222
CINCINNATI STATE	2,670	91,999	51,501	173,349	65,503	69,310	26,233	480,565
CLARK STATE	3,788	62,769	37,188	63,182	39,310	34,880	58,934	300,051
CLERMONT	167	29,139	10,272	21,887	13,176	14,255	21,823	110,719
CLEVELAND	45,625	575,519	144,026	399,637	455,329	317,521	505,175	2,442,832
COLUMBUS STATE	6,140	130,206	154,698	153,856	88,525	105,614	57,275	696,314
EAST LIVERPOOL	289	14,001	13,075	12,912	10,094	7,551	10,023	67,945
EDISON STATE	2,557	29,599	25,806	35,496	23,896	14,868	16,768	148,990
FIRELANDS	0	24,459	16,297	29,731	14,198	11,508	31,844	128,037
GEAUGA	803	6,548	6,032	4,094	5,973	1,722	8,512	33,684
HAMILTON	0	47,577	31,832	38,708	43,928	24,302	28,667	215,014
HOCKING	1,645	50,025	45,794	93,605	46,685	23,444	27,557	288,755
JEFFERSON	663	32,164	16,364	47,586	17,327	2,951	10,979	128,034
KENT	42,033	513,447	203,824	441,280	552,577	347,167	446,211	2,546,539
LAKE	894	10,379	12,176	16,092	9,172	2,239	7,874	58,826
LAKELAND	6,388	114,612	68,140	98,453	72,695	52,086	65,759	478,133
LANCASTER	1,137	24,375	14,816	33,057	9,080	11,307	34,171	127,943
LIMA	164	17,023	11,941	16,908	16,130	10,539	17,403	90,108
JAMES RHODES ST.	3,841	29,348	16,396	35,399	27,831	10,601	27,031	150,447
LORAIN COUNTY	10,678	157,465	76,834	103,582	57,163	87,898	119,800	613,420
MANSFIELD	1,409	33,397	24,066	24,303	22,427	11,814	27,684	145,100
MARION	421	20,832	7,363	19,229	15,721	10,343	26,854	100,763
MARION TECH	-57	21,750	4,383	24,164	13,771	12,231	29,829	106,071
MCCOT	18,680	225,378	42,528	135,105	236,676	171,755	100,714	930,836
MIAMI	23,216	474,245	182,832	480,258	482,164	368,292	525,947	2,536,954
MIDDLETOWN	523	35,825	26,506	47,495	24,484	16,214	35,711	186,758
MUSKINGUM	1,147	26,322	15,192	48,999	17,846	13,259	24,671	147,436
NEOUCOM	3,208	55,881	9,066	61,993	51,321	22,775	24,345	228,589
NEWARK	405	20,746	12,088	25,371	21,098	9,608	20,639	109,955
NORTH CENTRAL	1,932	43,520	24,891	78,694	36,452	19,923	27,516	232,928
NORTHWEST STATE	985	27,991	19,581	46,847	19,845	8,229	22,055	145,533
OHIO STATE	315,280	2,353,444	465,244	2,178,783	2,533,606	1,647,141	1,870,987	11,364,485
OHIO UNIV	87,172	569,045	190,460	499,292	521,425	799,286	377,786	3,044,466
OU-Eastern	2,916	23,798	14,544	11,327	11,219	10,231	43,696	117,731
OU-Southern	3,231	16,971	19,524	4,713	8,787	9,012	10,901	73,139
OWENS STATE-N	5,506	124,050	83,281	167,318	68,795	47,381	56,649	552,980
OWENS STATE-S	266	17,783	11,162	21,099	9,939	9,361	1,760	71,370
RIO GRANDE	1,816	35,421	32,428	39,503	28,769	15,983	51,164	205,084
SALEM	0	9,683	10,952	15,665	7,669	4,495	18,868	67,332
SHAWNEE	4,690	110,238	44,447	153,947	71,908	59,357	110,142	554,729
SINCLAIR	20,016	275,329	109,768	232,003	169,316	175,679	223,859	1,205,970
SOUTHERN STATE	559	30,186	26,920	34,633	25,841	16,057	14,727	148,923
STARK	2,150	39,139	22,734	36,120	21,463	23,768	61,789	207,163
STARK ST. TECH	1,960	58,985	35,537	86,584	38,001	22,934	14,993	258,994
TERRA STATE	3,427	44,995	26,455	71,422	24,454	28,306	28,305	227,364
TOLEDO	41,242	672,966	212,781	542,896	502,114	237,828	574,703	2,784,530
TRUMBULL	2,050	31,080	23,249	32,518	19,664	16,444	34,305	159,310
TUSCARAWAS	924	24,101	16,578	25,852	13,873	12,292	27,663	121,283
WALTERS	2,229	32,720	25,600	33,511	25,595	13,645	23,550	156,850
WASHINGTON STATE	1,404	29,933	16,738	48,224	25,209	7,363	9,319	138,190
WAYNE	0	17,198	12,657	13,902	8,208	9,580	23,581	85,126
WRIGHT	33,904	482,400	107,704	409,929	401,139	225,673	289,094	1,949,843
YOUNGSTOWN	19,332	359,551	130,156	309,392	288,945	278,739	300,501	1,686,616
ZANESVILLE	2,882	29,768	27,069	23,751	17,628	19,756	24,862	145,716
Grand Total	898,009	11,071,749	3,919,979	10,341,746	9,817,100	7,301,111	8,988,362	52,338,056

N:\NM06OpBud\Akron_FTE corrections.xls\FTEs

Table 5: FY 2003 Changes to POM - Final

	FY 2003 AV/DP	FY 2003 Circulation	FY 2003 Classroom	FY 2003 Lab	FY 2003 Office	FY 2003 Storage	FY 2003 Other	FY 2003 Grand Total
AGRICULTURAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AKRON	666	17,722	8,074	12,356	25,787	2,513	-	-
ASHTABULA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OU-Eastern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELMONT TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOWLING GREEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-METRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-WEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRAL OHIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRAL STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHILLICOTHE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	(349)	(584)	-	-	(1,196)	(315)	(148)	-
COLUMBUS STATE	-	(638)	4,776	(5,500)	591	2,607	(1,836)	-
EAST LIVERPOOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EDISON STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FIRELANDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEAUGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOCKING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OU-Southern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	-	2,117	(2,516)	-	(6,191)	4,164	2,420	-
LAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JAMES RHODES ST.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN COUNTY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCOT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLETOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKINGUM	91	-	(2,971)	6,210	(636)	-	(2,694)	-
NEUCOM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CENTRAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHWEST STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO STATE	(290)	16,528	(10,078)	(35,312)	(2,397)	38,364	(9,474)	-
OHIO UNIV	-	(36,271)	(26,625)	(30,960)	(30,584)	134,195	(9,755)	-
OWENS STATE-N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENS STATE-S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIO GRANDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SINCLAIR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHERN STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stark College of Technology	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA STATE	-	-	(569)	1,144	-	-	(575)	-
TOLEDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35,294
TRUMBULL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCARAWAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WRIGHT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	139,823
YOUNGSTOWN	-	(440)	-	5,600	(1,072)	(6,624)	(339)	(2,875)
ZANESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GrandTotal	118	(1,566)	(29,909)	(46,462)	(15,698)	174,904	(22,401)	172,242

N:\NM\05OpBud\SS1_Handbook tables.xls\Table5

Table 6: Projected FY 2004 Changes to FY 2003 POM
Net positive and negative changes (not gross) to facilities' status from January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2003
for qualifying POM square footage.

	FY 2004 AV/DP	FY 2004 Circulation	FY 2004 Classroom	FY 2004 Lab	FY 2004 Office	FY 2004 Storage	FY 2004 Other	FY 2004 Grand Total
AGRICULTURAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AKRON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(40,184)
ASHTABULA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OU-Eastern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELMONT TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOWLING GREEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-METRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-WEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRAL OHIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRAL STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHILlicoTHE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK STATE	-	-	-	1,357	(1,357)	-	-	-
CLERMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	(304)	(8,351)	6,876	(4,546)	(9,488)	(11,468)	(3,626)	-
COLUMBUS STATE	(45)	204	4,215	1,850	4,829	(22,927)	3,814	-
EAST LIVERPOOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EDISON STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FIRELANDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEAUGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOCKING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OU-Southern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	-	1,429	-	-	-	-	10,457	(2,299)
LAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKELAND	(256)	(1,072)	(4,254)	903	(1,274)	950	(501)	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN COUNTY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500
MARION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCOT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLETOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKINGUM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEOUCOM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CENTRAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHWEST STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO STATE	(828)	41,952	12,621	18,986	61,848	(135,329)	750	(6,879)
OHIO UNIV	-	5,886	1,292	14,019	3,439	(27,185)	2,033	-
OWENS STATE-N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENS STATE-S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIO GRANDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SINCLAIR	-	(5,110)	4,810	5,110	(4,810)	-	-	-
SOUTHERN STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK ST. TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,206)
TRUMBULL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCARAWAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,560
WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WRIGHT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(11,016)
YOUNGSTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ZANESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GrandTotal	6,194	34,935	11,272	23,123	(23,518)	(130,198)	24,668	(53,524)

N:\NM05OpBud\SSSI_Handbook tables.xls\Table5

Table 7: Projected FY 2005 Changes to FY 2004 POM
Net positive and negative changes (not gross) to facilities' status from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004
for qualifying POM square footage.

	FY 2005 AV/DP	FY 2005 Circulation	FY 2005 Classroom	FY 2005 Lab	FY 2005 Office	FY 2005 Storage	FY 2005 Other	FY 2005 Grand Total
AGRICULTURAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AKRON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OU-Eastern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELMONT TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOWLING GREEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-METRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCC-WEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRAL OHIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRAL STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHILlicothe	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	270	17,806	-	(3,406)	32,890	1,253	(15,709)	33,104
COLUMBUS STATE	-	(671)	-	-	(506)	(139)	(2,672)	(3,988)
EAST LIVERPOOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EDISON STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FIRELANDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEAUGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOCKING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OU-Southern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JAMES RHODES ST.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN COUNTY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION TECH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCOT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLETOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKINGUM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEOUCOM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CENTRAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHWEST STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO STATE	-	41,291	(2,454)	50,875	36,885	20,701	22,434	169,732
OHIO UNIV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENS STATE-N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENS STATE-S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIO GRANDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	-	(530)	(560)	(5,725)	3,657	(29)	(1,092)	(4,279)
SINCLAIR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHERN STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stark College of Technolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCARAWAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WRIGHT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ZANESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GrandTotal	270	57,896	(3,014)	41,744	72,926	21,786	2,961	194,569

N:\NM050pBud\SSl_Handbook tables.xls\Table5

Table 8: Calculation of Capital Deduction, FY 2004 and FY 2005

	Amount to be Subtracted from State Share of Instruction
AGRICULTURAL	\$0
AKRON	\$0
ASHTABULA	\$0
BELMONT TECH	\$0
BOWLING GREEN	\$0
CUYAHOGA	\$0
CENTRAL OHIO	\$0
CENTRAL STATE	\$0
CHILLICOTHE	\$0
CINCINNATI	\$0
CINCINNATI STATE	\$0
CLARK STATE	\$0
CLERMONT	\$0
CLEVELAND	\$0
COLUMBUS STATE	\$0
EAST LIVERPOOL	\$0
EDISON STATE	\$0
FIRELANDS	\$0
GEAUGA	\$0
HAMILTON	\$0
HOCKING	(\$40,091)
JEFFERSON	\$0
KENT	\$0
LAKE	\$0
LAKELAND	\$0
LANCASTER	\$0
LIMA	\$0
JAMES RHODES ST.	(\$96,692)
LORAIN COUNTY	\$0
MANSFIELD	\$0
MARION	\$0
MARION TECH	\$0
MCOT	\$0
MIAMI	\$0
MIDDLETOWN	\$0
MUSKINGUM	\$0
NEOUCOM	(\$121,012)
NEWARK	\$0
NORTH CENTRAL	\$0
NORTHWEST STATE	\$0
OHIO STATE	\$0
OHIO UNIV	\$0
OU-Eastern	\$0
OU-Southern	\$0
OWENS STATE	\$0
RIO GRANDE	\$0
SALEM	\$0
SHAWNEE	\$0
SINCLAIR	\$0
SOUTHERN STATE	\$0
STARK	\$0
STARK ST. TECH	\$0
TERRA STATE	\$0
TOLEDO	\$0
TRUMBULL	(\$19,743)
TUSCARAWAS	\$0
WALTERS	(\$82,971)
WASHINGTON STATE	(\$5,905)
WAYNE	\$0
WRIGHT	\$0
YOUNGSTOWN	\$0
ZANESVILLE	\$0
Grand Total	(\$366,414)

Table 9: FY 2005 Calculation of Annual Guarantee

	FY 2004 SSI	Guarantee FY04-05 Change: Guarantee = "99% of 99%" or 98.01%	FY 2005 State Share w/o Guarantee before Capital Deduct. with Doctoral	Cost of Guarantee	Deduction for Late Space Change That Did Not Occur	FY 2005 SSI = FY 2005 State Share w/Guarantee Less Late Space Plus Allocator	FY 2005 SSI Proportionately Reduced for Earnings exceeding Appropriation	FY 2005 Capital Deduction to be transferred to Capital Component	Final FY 2005 State Share Reduced Proportionately & Net of Cap. Comp. Deduction
UNIVERSITIES									
AKRON	\$83,925,239	\$82,255,127	\$79,702,258	\$2,552,869	\$0	\$82,255,127	\$81,711,143	\$0	\$81,711,143
BOWLING GREEN	\$76,227,964	\$74,711,028	\$69,628,427	\$5,082,600	\$0	\$74,711,027	\$74,216,936	\$0	\$74,216,936
CENTRAL STATE	\$5,954,584	\$5,836,087	\$5,178,533	\$657,554	\$0	\$5,836,087	\$5,797,491	\$0	\$5,797,491
CINCINNATI	\$144,188,235	\$141,318,889	\$138,706,422	\$2,612,467	\$0	\$141,318,889	\$140,384,295	\$0	\$140,384,295
CLEVELAND	\$64,969,677	\$63,676,780	\$61,597,555	\$2,079,225	\$0	\$63,676,780	\$63,255,662	\$0	\$63,255,662
KENT	\$85,439,428	\$83,739,184	\$82,877,667	\$861,517	\$0	\$83,739,184	\$83,185,386	\$0	\$83,185,386
MCOT	\$23,551,779	\$23,083,099	\$24,102,712	\$0	\$0	\$24,102,712	\$23,943,312	\$0	\$23,943,312
MIAMI	\$59,935,644	\$58,742,924	\$53,200,863	\$5,542,061	\$0	\$58,742,924	\$58,354,436	\$0	\$58,354,436
NEOUCOM	\$11,027,352	\$10,807,907	\$11,280,561	\$0	\$0	\$11,280,561	\$11,205,958	(\$121,012)	\$11,084,946
OHIO STATE	\$299,998,381	\$294,028,413	\$303,908,235	\$0	\$0	\$303,908,235	\$301,898,378	\$0	\$301,898,378
OHIO UNIV	\$105,127,394	\$103,035,359	\$99,899,192	\$3,136,167	\$0	\$103,035,359	\$102,353,948	\$0	\$102,353,948
SHAWNEE	\$9,830,492	\$9,634,866	\$10,351,252	\$0	\$0	\$10,351,252	\$10,282,796	\$0	\$10,282,796
TOLEDO	\$79,921,085	\$78,330,655	\$74,350,420	\$3,980,235	\$0	\$78,330,655	\$77,812,626	\$0	\$77,812,626
WRIGHT	\$72,217,486	\$70,780,358	\$72,095,151	\$0	\$0	\$72,095,151	\$71,618,359	\$0	\$71,618,359
YOUNGSTOWN	\$41,530,116	\$40,703,667	\$39,028,031	\$1,675,636	\$0	\$40,703,667	\$40,434,478	\$0	\$40,434,478
Subtotal	\$1,163,844,856	\$1,140,684,343	\$1,125,907,280	\$28,180,332	\$0	\$1,154,087,612	\$1,146,455,205	(\$121,012)	\$1,146,334,193
BRANCHES									
ASHTABULA	\$2,612,656	\$2,560,664	\$2,858,779	\$0	\$0	\$2,858,779	\$2,839,873	\$0	\$2,839,873
CHILLICOTHE	\$3,925,570	\$3,847,451	\$4,385,227	\$0	\$0	\$4,385,227	\$4,356,226	\$0	\$4,356,226
CLERMONT	\$4,159,815	\$4,077,035	\$4,703,387	\$0	\$0	\$4,703,387	\$4,672,282	\$0	\$4,672,282
EAST LIVERPOOL	\$1,886,374	\$1,848,835	\$1,522,580	\$326,256	\$0	\$1,848,836	\$1,836,608	\$0	\$1,836,608
FIRELANDS	\$3,146,834	\$3,084,212	\$3,388,321	\$0	\$0	\$3,388,321	\$3,365,913	\$0	\$3,365,913
GEAUGA	\$1,250,737	\$1,225,847	\$1,316,525	\$0	\$0	\$1,316,525	\$1,307,818	\$0	\$1,307,818
HAMILTON	\$5,864,493	\$5,747,789	\$5,804,078	\$0	\$0	\$5,804,078	\$5,765,693	\$0	\$5,765,693
LAKE	\$2,288,445	\$2,242,905	\$2,288,082	\$0	\$0	\$2,288,082	\$2,272,950	\$0	\$2,272,950
LANCASTER	\$3,800,922	\$3,725,283	\$3,204,491	\$520,792	\$0	\$3,725,283	\$3,700,647	\$0	\$3,700,647
LIMA	\$3,977,790	\$3,898,632	\$3,541,813	\$356,819	\$0	\$3,898,632	\$3,872,849	\$0	\$3,872,849
MANSFIELD	\$4,024,739	\$3,944,647	\$3,783,588	\$161,059	\$0	\$3,944,647	\$3,918,559	\$0	\$3,918,559
MARION	\$3,495,909	\$3,426,341	\$3,604,099	\$0	\$0	\$3,604,099	\$3,580,264	\$0	\$3,580,264
MIDDLETOWN	\$6,142,908	\$6,020,664	\$5,581,277	\$439,387	\$0	\$6,020,664	\$5,980,847	\$0	\$5,980,847
NEWARK	\$5,155,735	\$5,053,136	\$4,570,707	\$482,429	\$0	\$5,053,136	\$5,019,717	\$0	\$5,019,717
OU-Eastern	\$3,093,433	\$3,031,874	\$2,592,193	\$439,681	\$0	\$3,031,874	\$3,011,823	\$0	\$3,011,823
OU-Southern	\$4,221,917	\$4,137,901	\$4,017,473	\$120,428	\$0	\$4,137,901	\$4,110,536	\$0	\$4,110,536
SALEM	\$2,189,390	\$2,145,821	\$2,594,821	\$0	\$0	\$2,594,821	\$2,577,660	\$0	\$2,577,660
STARK	\$6,582,597	\$6,451,604	\$6,748,788	\$0	\$0	\$6,748,788	\$6,704,156	\$0	\$6,704,156
TRUMBULL	\$4,846,583	\$4,750,136	\$4,172,365	\$577,771	\$0	\$4,750,136	\$4,718,722	(\$19,743)	\$4,698,979
TUSCARAWAS	\$3,899,875	\$3,822,267	\$4,127,190	\$0	\$0	\$4,127,190	\$4,099,895	\$0	\$4,099,895
WALTERS	\$7,327,564	\$7,181,745	\$8,935,207	\$0	\$0	\$8,935,207	\$8,876,115	(\$82,971)	\$8,793,144
WAYNE	\$2,967,830	\$2,908,771	\$2,938,478	\$0	\$0	\$2,938,478	\$2,919,045	\$0	\$2,919,045
ZANESVILLE	\$4,043,147	\$3,962,688	\$4,825,446	\$0	\$0	\$4,825,446	\$4,793,534	\$0	\$4,793,534
Subtotal	\$90,905,264	\$89,096,250	\$91,504,915	\$3,424,622	\$0	\$94,929,537	\$94,301,733	(\$102,714)	\$94,199,019
COMMUNITY COLLEGES									
CINCINNATI STATE	\$17,876,192	\$17,520,456	\$20,881,795	\$0	\$0	\$20,881,795	\$20,743,696	\$0	\$20,743,696
CLARK STATE	\$5,614,208	\$5,502,485	\$6,072,190	\$0	\$0	\$6,072,190	\$6,032,033	\$0	\$6,032,033
COLUMBUS STATE	\$38,700,185	\$37,930,051	\$46,095,305	\$0	\$0	\$46,095,305	\$45,790,460	\$0	\$45,790,460
CUYAHOGA	\$37,911,322	\$37,156,887	\$45,190,867	\$0	\$0	\$45,190,867	\$44,892,003	\$0	\$44,892,003
EDISON STATE	\$5,038,852	\$4,938,579	\$5,290,133	\$0	\$0	\$5,290,133	\$5,255,147	\$0	\$5,255,147
JEFFERSON	\$3,053,158	\$2,992,400	\$3,070,405	\$0	\$0	\$3,070,405	\$3,050,099	\$0	\$3,050,099
LAKELAND	\$12,391,963	\$12,145,363	\$14,001,783	\$0	\$0	\$14,001,783	\$13,909,184	\$0	\$13,909,184
LORAIN COUNTY	\$14,558,662	\$14,268,945	\$17,554,392	\$0	\$0	\$17,554,392	\$17,438,298	\$0	\$17,438,298
NORTHWEST STATE	\$5,871,091	\$5,754,257	\$6,860,456	\$0	\$0	\$6,860,456	\$6,815,085	\$0	\$6,815,085
OWENS STATE	\$27,904,585	\$27,349,284	\$32,426,406	\$0	\$0	\$32,426,406	\$32,211,958	\$0	\$32,211,958
RIO GRANDE	\$3,450,130	\$3,381,473	\$3,884,181	\$0	\$0	\$3,884,181	\$3,858,493	\$0	\$3,858,493
SINCLAIR	\$35,953,279	\$35,237,809	\$41,026,547	\$0	\$0	\$41,026,547	\$40,755,223	\$0	\$40,755,223
SOUTHERN STATE	\$3,919,331	\$3,841,336	\$4,528,607	\$0	\$0	\$4,528,607	\$4,498,658	\$0	\$4,498,658
TERRA STATE	\$5,332,526	\$5,228,408	\$5,061,263	\$165,145	\$0	\$5,228,408	\$5,191,844	\$0	\$5,191,844
WASHINGTON STATE	\$3,924,410	\$3,846,314	\$4,672,751	\$0	\$0	\$4,672,751	\$4,641,848	(\$5,905)	\$4,635,943
Subtotal	\$221,499,894	\$217,092,046	\$256,617,081	\$165,145	\$0	\$256,782,226	\$255,084,031	(\$5,905)	\$255,078,126
TECHNICAL COLLEGES									
AGRICULTURAL	\$4,291,724	\$4,206,319	\$4,472,516	\$0	\$0	\$4,472,516	\$4,442,937	\$0	\$4,442,937
BELMONT TECH	\$4,000,957	\$3,921,338	\$4,466,436	\$0	\$0	\$4,466,436	\$4,436,898	\$0	\$4,436,898
CENTRAL OHIO	\$4,122,198	\$4,040,166	\$5,451,891	\$0	\$0	\$5,451,891	\$5,415,836	\$0	\$5,415,836
HOCKING	\$14,825,458	\$14,530,431	\$14,324,834	\$205,597	\$0	\$14,530,431	\$14,434,336	(\$40,091)	\$14,394,245
LIMA TECH	\$6,277,919	\$6,152,989	\$7,037,151	\$0	\$0	\$7,037,151	\$6,990,612	(\$96,692)	\$6,893,920
MARION TECH	\$3,338,319	\$3,271,887	\$4,081,937	\$0	\$0	\$4,081,937	\$4,054,942	\$0	\$4,054,942
MUSKINGUM	\$4,494,766	\$4,405,320	\$3,712,662	\$692,658	\$0	\$4,405,320	\$4,376,186	\$0	\$4,376,186
NORTH CENTRAL	\$6,214,321	\$6,090,656	\$6,877,111	\$0	\$0	\$6,877,111	\$6,831,630	\$0	\$6,831,630
STARK ST. TECH	\$10,007,187	\$9,808,044	\$12,353,384	\$0	\$0	\$12,353,384	\$12,271,687	\$0	\$12,271,687
Subtotal	\$57,572,848	\$56,427,149	\$62,777,922	\$898,255	\$0	\$63,676,177	\$63,255,063	(\$136,783)	\$63,118,280
SYSTEM TOTAL	\$1,533,822,863	\$1,503,299,788	\$1,536,807,197	\$32,668,355	\$0	\$1,569,475,552	\$1,559,096,031	(\$366,414)	\$1,558,729,617