

2014 Ohio Educator Preparation Performance Report

Youngstown State University

Report Overview

To continuously improve the quality of educator preparation programs in Ohio, H.B. 1 of the 128th General Assembly directed the Chancellor of the Board of Regents to develop a system for evaluating Ohio's educator preparation programs and holding institutions of higher education accountable for their graduates' success. H.B. 290 of the 128th General Assembly provided for the sharing of data between the Ohio Board of Regents and the Ohio Department of Education to link the performance of educators to the institutions that prepared them.

The identification of metrics and the report format were developed in collaboration with representatives from the 13 public and 38 private educator preparation providers in Ohio, as well as state agencies, and organizations. The Board of Regents works with the Ohio Department of Education and educator preparation programs to collect data on the following identified preparation metrics for the annual reports:

- Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Results for Program Completers
- Candidate Academic Measures
- Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) Results for Program Completers
- Field/Clinical Experiences
- edTPA™ Results for Program Completers
- Pre-Service Teacher Candidate Survey Results
- Licensure Test Results for Program Completers
- Resident Educator Survey Results
- Value-added Data (EVAAS) for K-12 Students Taught by Program Completers
- Resident Educator Persistence Data
- Excellence and Innovation Initiatives
- National Accreditation

Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Results for Individuals Completing Teacher Preparation Programs at Youngstown State University

Reporting Period: September 1, 2013 through August 31, 2014

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating teachers (Ohio's Teacher Evaluation System) provides educators with a rich and detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement. The system is research-based and designed to be transparent, fair, and adaptable to the specific contexts of Ohio's districts. Furthermore, it builds on what educators know about the importance of ongoing assessment and feedback as a powerful vehicle to support improved practice. Teacher performance and student academic growth are the two key components of Ohio's evaluation system.

Limitations of the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Data:

1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013.
2. The teacher evaluation data in this report are provided by the Ohio Department of Education based on the original framework of 50 percent teacher evaluation and 50 percent student growth measure.
3. The number of teachers (N) with associated OTES data remains small at this point, and due to Ohio Revised Code, must be masked for institutions with fewer than 10 linked teachers.

Effective Licensure Year	Associated Teacher Evaluation Classifications			
	# Ineffective	# Developing	# Skilled	# Accomplished
2010	<10	15	37	28
2011	<10	<10	16	11
2012	<10	10	29	26
2013	<10	<10	22	16



Board of Regents
University System of Ohio

John R. Kasich, Governor
John Carey, Chancellor

2014 Ohio Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

**Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) Results for Individuals Completing
Principal Preparation Programs at Youngstown State University**

Reporting Period: September 1, 2013 through August 31, 2014

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating principals (Ohio's Principal Evaluation System) provides building leaders with a richer and more detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement.

Evaluations have two components, each weighted at 50 percent:

1. Principal performance rating, determined from:
 - a. A professional growth plan
 - b. Two 30 minute observations
 - c. Walkthroughs of building classrooms
2. Student academic growth rating for the building

The Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) data reported here are limited in that the information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013.

Effective Licensure Year	Number of Principals with OPES Data	Associated Principal Evaluation Classifications			
		Ineffective	Developing	Skilled	Accomplished
2010	2	N = 0 % = 0	N = 1 % = 50	N = 0 % = 0	N = 1 % = 50
2011	5	N = 0 % = 0	N = 1 % = 20	N = 4 % = 80	N = 0 % = 0
2012	2	N = 0 % = 0	N = 1 % = 50	N = 0 % = 0	N = 1 % = 50
2013	1	N = 0 % = 0	N = 0 % = 0	N = 1 % = 100	N = 0 % = 0

**edTPA™ Assessment Results for Individuals Completing
Teacher Preparation Programs at Youngstown State University**

Reporting Period: September 1, 2013 through August 31, 2014

Description of Data:

Ohio educator preparation programs have participated in the development of the [edTPA™](#), a performance assessment for educator candidates. At this time, the edTPA™ is not an Ohio licensure requirement or a program completion requirement. In this report, only results from the edTPA™ national scoring process are reported. Results from candidates whose assessments were scored locally are not reported.

Score Range	Institution Average Score	Ohio State Average Score	National Mean Score
15 - 75	47.7	41.9	43.7



Board of Regents
University System of Ohio

John R. Kasich, Governor
John Carey, Chancellor

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Institution Profile

(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Youngstown State University—an urban research university—emphasizes a creative, integrated approach to education, scholarship, and service. The University places students at its center; leads in the discovery, dissemination, and application of knowledge; advances civic, scientific, and technological development; and fosters collaboration to enrich the region and the world. Youngstown State University is accredited by the Higher Learning Commission and is a member of the North Central Association.

Beeghly College of Education

The Beeghly College of Education serves Northeast Ohio, Western Pennsylvania and beyond as a premier provider of programs that prepare teachers, counselors, educational administrators and practitioners, as well as providing developmental education programs to the YSU community.

Licensure Test Scores for Individuals Completing Educator Preparation Programs
at
Youngstown State University

Reporting period for 9/1/2012 through 8/31/2013

(Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

For the period reflected on this report, Ohio required that teacher candidates pass Praxis II® examinations by scoring at or above the state's established required score to be recommended for licensure and receive endorsements in specific fields. The reporting for Teacher Licensure Test Scores is based on Federal Title II data and therefore reflects only initial licensure for 2012-2013. The data also reflect the best attempt of each test taker. Data are not provided for additional licenses that an educator earns after her/his initial license. Most licenses in Ohio require that candidates pass more than one licensure examination, therefore the number of "Completers Tested" in the first table is smaller than the sum total of all takers of all assessments in the subsequent table. For institutions with fewer than 10 linked teachers or principals, only the N is reported.

Summary Rating: Effective		
	Completers Tested	Pass Rate Percentage
All Teacher Licensure Tests	183	98%

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Licensure Test Scores for Individuals Completing Principal Preparation Programs
at
Youngstown State University
Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014
(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Description of Data:

For the period reflected on this report, Ohio required that principal candidates pass the Ohio Assessment for Educators (015 Educational Leadership) by scoring at or above the state's established required score to be recommended for licensure. The scores are self-reported by each institution for 2013-2014.

	Completers Tested	Pass Rate Percentage
Principal Licensure Data	11	100%

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Value-Added Data for Individuals Completing Educator Preparation Programs at
Youngstown State University
Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014

Description of Data:

Ohio's value-added data system provides educators a more complete picture of student growth. As a vital component of Ohio's accountability system, districts and educators have access to an extensive array of diagnostic data through the Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS). From a state perspective, value-added data provide insights into student performance. For example, schools that do not appear to be achieving at high levels as traditionally measured can demonstrate through value-added data that many of their students are achieving significant progress. It is important to recognize these gains, as schools work to support students who have chronically struggled to perform. Student growth measures also provide students and parents with evidence of the impact of their efforts.

Limitations of the Value-Added Data:

1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013.
2. The value-added data in this report are those reported by Ohio's Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS) based on reading and mathematics achievement tests in grades 4-8.

Value-Added Data for Youngstown State University-Prepared Teachers

Teachers with Effective Licensure Dates 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013		Associated Value-Added Classifications				
Employed as Teachers	Teachers with Value-Added Data	Most Effective	Above Average	Average	Approaching Average	Least Effective
350	95	N = 15 % = 16	N = 9 % = 9	N = 26 % = 27	N = 16 % = 17	N = 29 % = 31

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Demographic Information for Schools where Youngstown State University-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve

Characteristic						
	Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	Ungraded	
Teachers Serving by School Level	N = 31 % = 33	N = 43 % = 45	N = 8 % = 8	N = 13 % = 14	N = 0 % = 0	
	Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center		
Teachers Serving by School Type	N = 15 % = 16	N = 80 % = 84	N = 0 % = 0	N = 0 % = 0		
	A	B	C	D	F	NR
Teachers Serving by Overall Letter Grade of Building Value-Added	N = 31 % = 33	N = 15 % = 16	N = 18 % = 19	N = 2 % = 2	N = 29 % = 31	N = 0 % = 0
	High Minority		Middle Minority		Low Minority	
Teachers Serving by Minority Enrollment by Tertiles	N = 34 % = 36		N = 54 % = 57		N = 7 % = 7	
	High Poverty	Medium-High Poverty	Medium-Low Poverty	Low Poverty		
Teachers Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles	N = 25 % = 26	N = 41 % = 43	N = 9 % = 9	N = 20 % = 21		

* Due to the preliminary nature of the data and staffing at ESC/district level, certain demographic variables have not been reported for some schools.

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Value-Added Data for Youngstown State University-Prepared Principals

Principals with Effective Licensure Dates 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013		Principals Serving by Letter Grade of Overall Building Value-Added					
Employed as Principals	Principals with Value-Added Data	A	B	C	D	F	NR
10	6	N = 3 % = 50	N = 0 % = 0	N = 0 % = 0	N = 0 % = 0	N = 3 % = 50	N = 0 % = 0

Demographic Information for Schools where Youngstown State University-Prepared Principals with Value-Added Data Serve

Characteristic						
	Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	Ungraded	
Principals Serving by School Level	N = 3 % = 50	N = 2 % = 33	N = 1 % = 17	N = 0 % = 0	N = 0 % = 0	
	Community School	Public School	STEM School		Educational Service Center	
Principals Serving by School Type	N = 0 % = 0	N = 6 % = 100	N = 0 % = 0		N = 0 % = 0	
	A	B	C	D	F	NR
Principals Serving by Overall Letter Grade of School	NOT AVAILABLE UNTIL 2015					
	High Minority		Middle Minority		Low Minority	
Principals Serving by School Minority Enrollment by Tertiles	N = 0 % = 0		N = 5 % = 83		N = 1 % = 17	
	High Poverty	Medium-High Poverty	Medium-Low Poverty		Low Poverty	
Principals Serving by School Poverty Level by Quartiles	N = 0 % = 0	N = 2 % = 33	N = 2 % = 33		N = 2 % = 33	

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Criterion	Required Score	Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
		Number of Admissions	Average Score of All Admissions	Number Enrolled	Average Score of All Enrollments	Number of Program Completers	Average Score All Program Completers
U=Undergraduate PB=Post-Baccalaureate G=Graduate							
	U/PB/G	U/PB/G	U/PB/G	U/PB/G	U/PB/G	U/PB/G	U/PB/G
GRE Writing Subscore	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA
MAT	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA
Praxis CORE Math	150 / 150 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA
Praxis CORE Reading	156 / 156 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA
Praxis CORE Writing	162 / 162 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA
Praxis I Math	172 / 172 / NA	46 / N<10 / NA	177 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA
Praxis I Reading	173 / 173 / NA	41 / N<10 / NA	177 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA
Praxis I Writing	172 / 172 / NA	43 / N<10 / NA	174 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA
Praxis II	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA	NA / NA / NA
SAT Composite Score	1050 / 1050 / NA	14 / N<10 / NA	1016 / N<10 / NA	110 / 27 / NA	957 / 1047 / NA	14 / N<10 / NA	1076 / N<10 / NA
SAT Quantitative Subscore	520 / 520 / NA	14 / N<10 / NA	512 / N<10 / NA	110 / 27 / NA	477 / 526 / NA	14 / N<10 / NA	534 / N<10 / NA
SAT Verbal Subscore	450 / 450 / NA	14 / N<10 / NA	511 / N<10 / NA	110 / 27 / NA	481 / 521 / NA	14 / N<10 / NA	543 / N<10 / NA
SAT Writing Subscore	430 / 430 / NA	14 / N<10 / NA	499 / N<10 / NA	106 / 17 / NA	471 / 503 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA	N<10 / N<10 / NA
Other Criteria		Undergraduate		Post-Baccalaureate		Graduate	
Dispositional Assessment		N		N		N	
EMPATHY/Omaha Interview		N		N		N	
Essay		Y		Y		N	
High School Class Rank		NA		NA		NA	
Interview		Y		Y		N	
Letter of Commitment		N		N		N	
Letter of Recommendation		N		N		N	

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Other Criteria	Undergraduate	Post-Baccalaureate	Graduate
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator	NA	N	N
None of the Above	N	N	N
Portfolio	N	N	N
Prerequisite Courses	Y	Y	N
SRI Teacher Perceiver	NA	NA	N
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship	NA	NA	N
Teacher Insight	N	N	N

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Principal Preparation Programs

		Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
Criterion	Required Score	Number of Admissions	Average Score of All Admissions	Number Enrolled	Average Score of All Enrollments	Number of Program Completers	Average Score All Program Completers
ACT Composite Score	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ACT English Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ACT Math Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ACT Reading Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GPA - Graduate	3	30	3.87	41	3.9	N<10	N<10
GPA - High School	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GPA - Undergraduate	3	30	3.16	41	3.22	N<10	N<10
GRE Composite Score	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GRE Quantitative Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GRE Verbal Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GRE Writing Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Praxis I Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Praxis I Reading	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Praxis I Writing	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Praxis II	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SAT Composite Score	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

		Candidates Admitted		Candidates Enrolled		Candidates Completing	
Criterion	Required Score	Number of Admissions	Average Score of All Admissions	Number Enrolled	Average Score of All Enrollments	Number of Program Completers	Average Score All Program Completers
SAT Quantitative Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SAT Verbal Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SAT Writing Subscore	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other Criteria							
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship						Y	
Essay						Y	
Letter of Recommendation						Y	
Portfolio						N	
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator						N	
Letter of Commitment						N	
Prerequisite Courses						N	
None of the Above						N	
Dispositional Assessment						N	
Interview						N	
EMPATHY/Omaha Interview						N	
SRI Teacher Perceiver						N	
Teacher Insight						N	

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Field and Clinical Experiences for Youngstown State University Candidates

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014

(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that teacher candidates complete field and clinical experiences in school settings as part of their preparation. These experiences include: 1) early and ongoing field-based opportunities for candidates to engage with K-12 students in Ohio classrooms prior to their formal student teaching; and 2) the culminating clinical experience commonly referred to as student teaching. Early field/clinical experiences are reported in hours. Student teaching is reported in weeks. Beyond the requisite statewide minimums, institutional requirements for candidates can vary by institution and by program. The information below is reported at the unit level.

Teacher Preparation Programs

Field/Clinical Experience Element	Youngstown State University Requirements
Minimum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	115
Maximum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	412
Average number of weeks required to teach full-time within the student teaching experience at the institution	16
Percentage of teacher candidates who satisfactorily completed student teaching	94.85%

Principal Preparation Programs

Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements
Total number of field/clinical weeks required of principal candidates in internship	30
Number of candidates admitted to internship	10
Number of candidates completing internship	10
Percentage of principal candidates who satisfactorily completed internship	100%

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Pre-Service Teacher Survey Results

Description of Data:

To gather information on student satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Board of Regents and a committee of representatives from Ohio institutions of higher education collaborated to develop a survey of Ohio's Pre-Service Teachers as a special research project. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. The Ohio Board of Regents distributed the online survey to candidates completing their student teaching experiences and collected the data for the Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014. A total of 4206 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 70 percent.

Youngstown State University Survey Response Rate = 98.43%

Total Survey Responses = 188

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.34	3.49
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.24	3.34
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.27	3.33
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.29	3.46
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.21	3.41
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	3.45	3.57
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	3.22	3.43
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	3.25	3.46
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	3.33	3.51
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	3.29	3.43
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.19	3.32
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.43	3.50

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.13	3.28
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.32	3.48
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	3.22	3.45
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.59	3.59
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.30	3.45
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate high expectations for all students.	3.45	3.56
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students, diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.32	3.40
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.57	3.64
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.15	3.30
22	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.18	3.41
23	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.34	3.41
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	3.18	3.08
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	2.97	2.93
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Ohio Resident Educator Program.	3.02	2.85
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.29	3.18
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	3.16	3.06
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	3.47	3.49
30	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	3.14	2.91

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.39	3.58
32	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.20	3.33
33	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.39	3.60
34	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.61	3.59
35	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.47	3.55
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.32	3.43
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.31	3.40
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.09	3.23
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	3.13	3.24
40	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.19	3.26
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.38	3.56
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.26	3.42
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.41	3.53
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.25	3.42
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.20	3.40
46	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.46	3.59
47	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.16	3.31
48	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	2.89	3.12
49	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate	3.16	3.31

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
	progression to program completion.		

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Statewide Survey of OHIO Resident Educators' Reflections on their Educator Preparation Program

Description of Data:

To gather information on student satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Board of Regents and a committee of representatives from Ohio institutions of higher education collaborated to develop a survey of Ohio's Resident Educators as a special research project. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 434 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 16 Percent. The Ohio Board of Regents distributed the online survey to candidates completing their Resident Educator experiences and collected the data for the Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014.

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.29	3.44
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.12	3.24
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.29	3.30
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.18	3.40
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.06	3.30
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	2.94	3.26
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	2.94	3.26
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	2.94	3.26
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	2.94	3.26
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	2.94	3.26
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.12	3.23
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.29	3.38
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.06	3.26
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.29	3.44
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the	3.24	3.40

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
	importance of communication with families and caregivers.		
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.59	3.55
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.35	3.34
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.35	3.30
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.47	3.58
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.18	3.21
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.24	3.37
22	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.18	3.32
23	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	3.06	3.02
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	2.47	2.41
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	2.47	2.41
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.12	3.09
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	2.88	2.88
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including the Common Core State Standards.	2.94	3.00
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	2.53	2.51
30	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.41	3.59
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.29	3.34
32	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.47	3.59

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
33	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.41	3.58
34	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.29	3.51
35	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.24	3.33
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.24	3.31
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.06	3.22
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	3.00	3.21
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.12	3.25
40	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.47	3.49
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.18	3.39
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.18	3.49
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.12	3.38
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.12	3.29
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.41	3.54
46	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.00	3.27
47	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	2.53	3.11
48	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	2.88	3.28
49	My teacher licensure program provided prepared me with the knowledge and skills necessary to enter the classroom as a Resident Educator.	2.94	3.13

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Principal Intern Survey Results

Description of Data:

To gather information on principal intern satisfaction with their preparation programs, the Ohio Board of Regents and a committee of representatives from Ohio institutions of higher education collaborated to develop a survey of Ohio's Principal Interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. The Ohio Board of Regents distributed the online survey to candidates completing their student teaching experiences and collected the data for the Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014. A total of 207 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 20 percent.

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
1	My program prepared me to lead and facilitate continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	N<10	3.47
2	My program prepared me to lead the processes of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	N<10	3.44
3	My program prepared me to anticipate, monitor, and respond to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	N<10	3.46
4	My program prepared me to lead instruction.	N<10	3.41
5	My program prepared me to ensure the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (e.g. national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	N<10	3.32
6	My program prepared me to ensure effective instructional practices meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	N<10	3.41
7	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of data by self and staff.	N<10	3.49
8	My program prepared me to advocate for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	N<10	3.43
9	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of research by self and staff.	N<10	3.43
10	My program prepared me to support staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development and instructional practices.	N<10	3.40
11	My program prepared me to establish and maintain procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	N<10	3.53
12	My program prepared me to establish and maintain a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	N<10	3.46
13	My program prepared me to allocate resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	N<10	3.31
14	My program prepared me to uphold and model professional ethics;	N<10	3.58

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

No.	Question	Institution Average 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree	State Average (Mean) 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree
	local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct		
15	My program prepared me to share leadership with staff, students, parents, and community members.	N<10	3.68
16	My program prepared me to establish effective working teams and developing structures for collaboration between teachers and educational support personnel.	N<10	3.60
17	My program prepared me to foster positive professional relationships among staff.	N<10	3.65
18	My program prepared me to support and advance the leadership capacity of educators.	N<10	3.53
19	My program prepared me to utilize good communication skills, both verbal and written, with all stakeholder audiences.	N<10	3.62
20	My program prepared me to connect the school with the community through print and electronic media.	N<10	3.39
21	My program prepared me to involve parents and communities in improving student learning.	N<10	3.48
22	My program prepared me to use community resources to improve student learning.	N<10	3.38
23	My program prepared me to establish expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	N<10	3.43

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

National Accreditation

(Data Source: Ohio Board of Regents)

Description of Data:

All educator preparation programs (EPPs) in Ohio are required to be accredited by either the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC), or their successor agency, the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). Accreditation is a mechanism to ensure the quality of an institution and its programs. The accreditation of an institution and/or program helps employers evaluate the credential of job applicants.

Accrediting Agency	NCATE
Date of Last Review	March 2010
Accreditation Status	Accredited

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Teacher Residency Program

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014

(Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

The Resident Educator Program in Ohio is a system of support that encompasses a robust four-year teacher development system designed to improve teacher retention and increase student learning. Data are reported for those entering the Resident Educator Program in 2011-2012, 2012-2013 and 2013-2014. Non-completion does not imply dismissal, as leaving the program may be due to multiple factors.

Percent of Newly Hired Teachers Persisting in the State Residency Program
who were Prepared at Youngstown State University

Residency Year 1			Residency Year 2			Residency Year 3			Residency Year 4		
Entering	Persisting		Entering	Persisting		Entering	Persisting		Entering	Persisting	
153	153	100%	97	96	99%	27	27	100%			

2014 Educator Preparation Performance Report Youngstown State University

Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2013 to Aug 31, 2014

(Data Source: Youngstown State University)

Description of Data:

This section provides each program the opportunity to share information on a maximum of three initiatives geared to increase excellence and support innovation in the preparation of Ohio educators.

Teacher Licensure Programs

Initiative:	Stand Up Speak Out Leadership Conference
Purpose:	Host a fun and innovative middle school leadership conference
Goal:	Help students gain confidence and take steps towards becoming a leader in their schools
Number of Participants:	75
Strategy:	Youngstown State University hosted a fun and innovative middle school leadership conference called Stand Up Speak Out . Field Specialists from the Quaglia Institute, a non-profit organization that works with schools in Youngstown, partnered with 18 specially trained YSU students to lead the day's activities. YSU students led the following workshops: Team Building: Effective leaders know that being successful is not a one-person show. Middle school students learned how to develop teams that utilize every member's skills. Speaking & Listening: Effective leaders know how to communicate. Students learned how to speak confidently and listen attentively. Clarifying Values: Effective leaders know how to prioritize and to stay focused on their school's mission. Students learned how to decide what is important, and what is not. Student leaders often need to clarify what values are most important. Students participated in high-energy large group games. Additionally, students learned and interacted with a keynote speaker from an organization called REACH Communications.
Demonstration of Impact:	Outcomes of the conference varied depending on the commitment to implementing the students' projects. The Quaglia Institute continued to work with the student leadership teams in the Youngstown City Schools.
External Recognition:	
Programs:	
Initiative:	Freedom School: Collaboration to Make a Differenc
Purpose:	
Goal:	
Number of Participants:	48
Strategy:	The purpose of the six-week Freedom School is twofold. In addition to teaching students about not just the Civil Rights Movement, but also about civil rights as a whole, the school is looking to ensure that summer vacation doesn't hinder the students' education, but instead supports their education and overall health. Each morning began with motivational cheers and chanting as well as reading books about the Civil Rights Movement. Although the focus is literacy, the students also to learn skills for outside the classroom, such as cooking, art and board games. The summer activities will also

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

	include field trips. Thus, four education majors from Youngstown State University's Beeghly College of Education immersed themselves in the urban teaching experience as part of the Freedom School. These servant intern leaders attended a week-long training for the internship at the Alex Haley Farm near Knoxville, Tennessee. In the actual experience the YSU students said they learned about classroom management and gained valuable field experience. The intern's job description included the ability to be spontaneous and upbeat – a must for this exciting summer program.
Demonstration of Impact:	While this is the first summer in the Mahoning Valley, the Freedom School is a nationwide program operated by the Children's Defense Fund that focuses on serving students healthful meals, and offering summer education and involvement in their communities. Each Freedom School location is funded through grants, which the program must secure on their own.
External Recognition:	
Programs:	
Initiative:	Co-Teaching during the Clinical Experience
Purpose:	To develop a model wherein student teachers and their cooperating teachers plan, teach, and assess students within a single classroom space
Goal:	Increase P-12 Student Achievement by providing differentiated instruction and targeted instruction to meet the needs of ALL students in the regular education classroom
Number of Participants:	
Strategy:	Background on co-teaching was presented to our student teachers during our fall 2013 and spring 2014 orientation sessions. Based on research conducted through St. Cloud University's Title II grant and grounded in the work of Marilyn Friend, six strategies for co-teaching were described: one-teach, one observe; one teach, one assist; parallel teaching; station teaching; alternative teaching; and team teaching. Videos of these strategies in action were discussed and ideas for replication were encouraged. University supervisors and cooperating teachers received information during orientation sessions on co-teaching strategies.
Demonstration of Impact:	Program surveys were completed by student teachers, cooperating teachers, and university supervisors at the end of the semesters. Questions were targeted at the strategies utilized and the number of weeks and instructional periods co teaching occurred during the student teaching experience. Co teaching strategies identified as being utilized the most were 93% One Teach, One Assist; 84% One Teach, One Observe; 74% Team Teaching. Seventy-five percent of the student teachers had a lead instructional role in 4 or more co-teaching instructional periods. Sixty percent of the student teachers were involved in co-teaching for seven or more weeks of the sixteen week student teaching experience.
External Recognition:	
Programs:	

2014
Educator Preparation Performance Report
Youngstown State University

Principal Licensure Programs

Initiative:	Aspiring Leaders Partnerships
Purpose:	
Goal:	To provide an accelerated, off-campus, cohort-based preparation program in partnership with two educational service centers to ensure a pool of highly qualified candidates ready to assume building leadership roles.
Number of Participants:	29
Strategy:	After conducting focus group with program graduates currently leading high-performing buildings and collaborating with ESCs officials in Mahoning and Trumbull Counties, YSU launched the Aspiring Leaders Program. The program increased the admissions requirements, including GPA, and the professional accomplishments of candidates as attested to by their district superintendents; adopted a cohort-model to promote collaboration and networking within and across districts; moved delivery to the sites of the ESC partners; and infused clinical activities across this entire 24 month masters+principalship program. Additionally, Advisory Committees composed of superintendents and principals provide continuing oversight and recommendations for curricular inclusions and prospective candidates. Finally, ESC partners welcome participation of Aspiring Leaders in various in-service opportunities intended for current building principals, such as OTES training.
Demonstration of Impact:	Preliminary data in the form of candidate quality, course completion, and retention rates; faculty evaluations of candidate work; the ratings of clinical performance by their building administrator mentors, and the nearly 100% who have already earned OTES certification one-year into their preparation program, all point to the potential positive benefits of this new model and closer and more formal partnerships with ESCs and the community of practice.
External Recognition:	
Programs:	