



**State-Wide Capital Master Plan Sub-Committee  
Ohio Board of Regents  
Minutes of the Meeting of December 12, 2006**

The State-Wide Capital Master Plan Sub-Committee met at the office of the Ohio Board of Regents in Columbus, Ohio. In attendance were the following:

**Subcommittee Members:**

Alex Cofield  
Ann James  
Chuck Lehnert  
Evelyn Frey  
Jim Haley  
Jim Haskell  
Jim Nargang  
Ron James  
Tom Horrall

**Attendees:**

Derek Bridges, Office of Budget and Management  
Katie Hensel, Ohio Board of Regents  
Stephanie McCann, Ohio Board of Regents

The meeting convened at 9:00 am and introductions were made.

**Review analysis of annual and total statewide need:**

Jim Nargang reviewed the analysis of annual and total statewide need.

Jim Haley asked is the backlog growing at a certain rate? This is a pure analytical approach. The total need of the campuses vs. what the state is providing results in the shortfall being funded by the campuses.

Local debt and the capacity to carry this debt should be brought to the front of the document or in the executive summary.

**Consider options for continuing master planning effort:**

- Subcommittee feedback on using the NASA Streamlined Assessment method for campus staff assessments of educational facilities:

Jim Haley stated that in considering the NASA approach: when it's all said and done, it still isn't a third party assessment. Do we gain anything from this approach? What's the outcome? Will this serve

our purpose? The value of the message isn't diminished, just the approach.

Jim Nargang asked is an assessment important?

Alex Cofield said an assessment is still important. The General Assembly asked for assessment, is the push still there? Do we ask the institutions to cover the cost? After discussion, members of the subcommittee decided a third party assessment, funded and managed by Board of Regents staff, would be the best approach and provide the most credible information to state leaders.

Members of the subcommittee agreed the work of the consultation to develop a statewide capital master plan needs to continue. Until funds are available for a third party assessment, the recommendations of the consultation will be based on analysis of available financial and facility data in the Higher Education Information system.

We will review the charter and timeline at the next meeting. Members of the committee asked staff to generate graphical data for review and discussion at our next meeting. Data requested included state operating and capital funds per FTE, statewide campus plant debt per FTE, and the change in average tuition.

Meeting adjourned at 1:05 pm.