



Ohio
Board
of
Regents

IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS PROGRAM

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

and

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION

of

COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS TO SUPPORT SUSTAINED AND INTENSIVE HIGH QUALITY PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS FOR PK-12 SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS EDUCATORS

30 E. Broad Street
36th Floor
Columbus, Ohio
43215-3414

(614) 752-9541
or (614) 466-6000

Proposals Due November 3, 2008

FY 2008 Funds
for the period from
January 26, 2009 to May 31, 2010

Improving Teacher Quality State Grants Program
Title II, Part A, Subpart 3, Public Law 107-110
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001
The Reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965

September 8, 2008

**OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS PROGRAM
FY 2008 REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS' PHILOSOPHY IN ADMINISTERING IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY PROGRAM.....	1
III.	PROGRAM GUIDELINES	2
	A. Eligible Institutions.....	2
	B. Eligible Activities	2
	C. Program Emphasis	3
	D. Collaboration	4
IV.	BUDGET	4
	A. Guidelines	5
	B. General Budget Information	5
V.	PREPARATION OF PROPOSAL	6
	A. Proposal Cover Page	6
	B. Abstract	6
	C. Table of Contents	6
	D. Cooperative Planning Document and Collaborative Structure	6
	E. Needs	6
	F. Goals and Anticipated Outcomes	7
	G. Activities.....	7
	H. Alignment with Ohio's Academic Content Standards.....	7
	I. Achievement/Impact of Previous Project(s).....	7
	J. Plan for Recruitment of Participants.....	7
	K. Evaluation Plan	7
	L. Replication and Dissemination	7
	M. Proposal Budget Summary.....	8
	N. Budget Explanation	8
	O. Vitae	8
	P. Current Funded Projects and Pending Proposals	8
	Q. References Cited.....	8
	R. Intent to Submit Proposal	8
VI.	PROPOSAL FORMAT	8
VII.	PROPOSAL REVIEW PROCESS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	9
VIII.	PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND DEADLINES	10
IX.	AWARD NOTIFICATION AND OTHER PROCEDURAL INFORMATION.....	11
X.	PROPOSAL FORMS	11
XI.	APPENDIX I - Definition of terms	12
XII.	APPENDIX II - List of Ohio's High Need LEAs (school districts)	14

TIME TABLE

September 8, 2008	Issue Request for Proposals
September 23, 2008	Information Sessions (see below)
October 1, 2008	Return Intent to Submit Proposal Form (optional)
November 3, 2008	<u>Proposals due by 5:00 p.m. in the offices of the Ohio Board of Regents</u>
November 3, 2008 through November 28, 2008	Review of Proposals by Review Panel
December, 2008	Review Panel Meeting
January 23, 2009	Approval of proposals for funding

On **Tuesday, September 23, 2008 from 9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m. and again at 1:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.**, we will host an **information session** to provide information about the Improving Teacher Quality Program and to answer questions about the program and the RFP. We encourage your attendance at this meeting. The meeting will be held in the offices of the Ohio Board of Regents, 30 E. Broad Street, 36th Floor, Columbus, OH. Interested parties should contact Charlet Chisolm at cchisolm@regents.state.oh.us, or (614) 752-8810, if you plan on attending one of these sessions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Improving Teacher Quality State Grants Program is funded under the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001 (Title II, Part A of Public Law 107-110). The purpose of the program is to increase the academic achievement of all students by helping schools and school districts improve teacher, instructional paraprofessional and principal quality. Through the program, state educational agencies (SEAs) and local educational agencies (LEAs) receive funds on a formula basis, as does the state agency for higher education (SAHE) which, in Ohio, is the Ohio Board of Regents. The SAHE provides competitive grants to public and private colleges and universities to form partnerships comprised of, at a minimum, schools of education and arts and sciences, along with a high-need LEA. The program will support sustained and intensive high-quality science and mathematics professional development to ensure that teachers will provide challenging learning experiences for their students.

The Ohio Board of Regents expects to have available approximately \$2.9 million in federal funds to support the fiscal year 2008 Improving Teacher Quality Program. These funds will be allocated to colleges and universities under a competitive grant proposal process that focuses on **mathematics and science** education programs operating between January 26, 2009 and May 31, 2010.

II. THE OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS' PHILOSOPHY IN ADMINISTERING IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY PROGRAM FUNDS

The Improving Teacher Quality Program provides an excellent opportunity for the educational community to address serious concerns about teaching and learning in science and mathematics. The Ohio Board of Regents envisions that by bringing collegiate faculty in academic and educational disciplines together with elementary and secondary mathematics and science teachers and principals an educational environment can be provided in which creative and effective ideas and methods of teaching and learning can flourish. The result of these efforts is improved teaching and increased student achievement in mathematics and science. Furthermore, Ohioans will be able to better meet the needs of today's knowledge economy; citizens will be mathematically and scientifically literate and more students will continue into post-secondary education.

The Ohio Board of Regents invites proposals that contain validated ways of addressing the complex issues surrounding the teaching and learning of mathematics and science. Proposals must address the special needs of populations that include those families with income below the poverty line or who historically have lacked access to equal educational opportunities for advanced learning. In addition, proposals must have well-defined goals and activities that promote interaction among faculty, teachers, and others, particularly those teachers who are not "highly qualified" (see definition in Appendix). The proposals should cost-effectively meet the needs of a significant number of teachers in both public and private schools.

It is the intent of the Ohio Board of Regents to fund projects that will become models of good professional development that can be **scaled-up, replicated, and disseminated widely** throughout the educational system in Ohio. We want to add to the body of research and knowledge about what constitutes good professional development and provide a means to make it available to all mathematics and science teachers. The competitive nature of the Improving Teacher Quality Program and importance of the problems dictate that these proposals encourage positive changes in teachers, principals, and curriculum at the pre-college level and increase student achievement.

III. PROGRAM GUIDELINES

A. Eligible Institutions

Ohio public and private colleges and universities are invited to submit proposals to support **partnerships** in which the **principal partners** are an institution of higher education (IHE), including (1) the division of the IHE that prepares teachers and principals; (2) the arts and science division/school/college within the IHE; and (3) a high-need LEA.

A high-need LEA is defined as a LEA:

1. (a) that serves not fewer than 10,000 children from families with incomes below the poverty line; or
(b) for which not less than 20 percent of the children served by the agency are from families with incomes below the poverty line; and
Note: A list of all Ohio school districts that qualify as high need LEAs is included in Appendix II of this document. It is based on the U.S. Census Bureau 2005 School District Estimates, released 01.2008, which contains poverty information required for identification of a high-need LEA.
2. (a) for which there is a high percentage of teachers not teaching in the academic subjects or grade levels that the teachers were trained to teach; or
(b) for which there is a high percentage of teachers with emergency, provisional, or temporary certification or licensing.

In addition to the **principal partners**, an eligible partnership may include another LEA, a public charter school, an elementary or secondary school, an educational service agency, a nonprofit educational organization, another IHE, a school of arts and sciences within that IHE, the division of that IHE that prepares teachers and principals, a nonprofit cultural organization, an entity carrying out a pre-kindergarten program, a teacher organization, a principal organization, or a business. Community Colleges which provide a 2-year program that is acceptable for credit toward a bachelor's degree may be included in a partnership.

In Ohio, additional support for establishing need may come from 1) school and/or district ratings of "Academic Emergency" or "Academic Watch" based on the Ohio Department of Education School Effectiveness rating system and/or 2) a district with an assigned typology group rating of 1, 4, or 5 according to the Typology of Ohio School Districts from the Ohio Department of Education.

The IHE member of the principal partnership will serve as Fiscal Agent for funded projects and the Project Director must be employed by that college or university. LEAs are not eligible to receive funds directly as a project grantee under the Ohio Board of Regents Improving Teacher Quality Program.

B. Eligible Activities

Awards will be used to support the following types of professional development activities that are based on scientifically-based research (see definition in Appendix I) that will enhance student achievement in participating principal partner high-need LEAs:

1. Professional development (see definition in Appendix I) activities in mathematics and science to ensure that:

- Teachers and highly qualified paraprofessionals (and, when appropriate, principals) have subject matter knowledge in mathematics and science and knowledge of how to use computers and other technology to enhance student learning; and
 - Principals have the instructional leadership skills to help them work more effectively with teachers of mathematics and science to enhance student academic achievement.
2. Developing and providing assistance to LEAs and to their teachers, highly qualified paraprofessionals, or school principals, in providing sustained, high-quality professional development activities in mathematics and science that:
- Ensure that those individuals can use Ohio’s academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and State assessments to improve instructional practices and student academic achievement;
 - May include intensive programs designed to prepare individuals to provide instruction related to the professional development described in the preceding paragraph to others in their schools; and
 - May include activities of partnerships between one or more LEAs, one or more of the LEA's schools, and one or more IHEs for the purpose of improving teaching and learning at low-performing schools.

C. Program Emphasis

We encourage submission of proposals built on validated, effective, research-based strategies that are designed to meet the needs of Ohio teachers and principals in increasing student achievement. Projects should have the potential for improving mathematics and science teaching and learning by developing and implementing models of good professional practice that can be scaled-up and widely disseminated. There must be substantive collaboration between arts and sciences and teacher preparation departments in Ohio’s public and private IHEs, high-need LEAs, and other appropriate entities in the development of research-driven, scientifically-based professional development initiatives that address critical mathematics and science professional development needs. There **must** also be, from the onset, collaboration between faculty and teachers in the planning and implementation of project activities.

Grant activities must focus on Ohio Academic Content Standards, Performance Standards, and Ohio Achievement Test Outcomes. Possible formats include, but are not limited to, institutes, seminars, intense summer and year-long courses, or combinations thereof. The most effective projects have been summer programs of at least two to five weeks with 100 or more contact hours, using a hands-on, inquiry based, problem-solving approach, and incorporating substantial follow-up activities. We encourage projects that involve teams of teachers and administrators from the same school or district.

It is the intent of the Ohio Board of Regents, in formulating this Request for Proposals (RFP), to use a significant part of the available funds under this program to support those projects that have shown documented success. It is particularly important that proposals contain **a rigorous evaluation component**. A way to demonstrate the effectiveness of the project and its impact on improving teacher practice **AND** increasing student achievement must be described in the proposal, **including both qualitative and quantitative measures** attesting to the effectiveness of the project. Continued funding will be based on documented success of the program.

Federal law requires that subgrants be equitably distributed by geographic area within the State or that subgrants serve eligible partnerships in all geographic areas in the State. It may be

necessary to limit the number of awards made to any one institution to ensure geographic equity if, in fact, we find at the time the Review Panel makes its recommendations that all areas of the state are not being served.

The Ohio Board of Regents, in cooperation with the Ohio Resource Center, has supported the development of a regional network of **University Excellence Centers for Mathematics and Science Teacher Education** and the Center for Mathematics and Science Evaluation and Assessment. These entities may be included in a partnership and can be useful resources in the planning, implementation, dissemination and evaluation of projects. The Ohio Resource Center, the University Excellence Centers and the Center for Evaluation and Assessment can be found through the website <http://www.ohiorc.org>.

D. Collaboration

Teacher leaders and administrators from the high-need schools to be served **must** be involved in project planning and proposal preparation from the outset. This will help to ensure that the nature, content, and academic credit (if any) for a course or workshop or other activities meet the needs of the teachers to be served. Teachers and administrators in public and nonpublic elementary and secondary schools are encouraged to critically evaluate their inservice needs in mathematics and science and to approach their college or university colleagues with a plan for a proposal.

Evidence of High Need LEA principal partner involvement in planning (meeting dates, places, topics, and participants) and a formal agreement between the college or university and the LEA principal partner must be included in the proposal. The "Cooperative Planning Document" (Form is in Section X of this Request for Proposals) is used to describe the cooperation that occurred in planning.

In developing proposals, the collaborating team must consider the local districts need to improve teaching skills and content understanding as described by Ohio's Academic Content Standards, the requirements outlined in this RFP, and the needs and plans prepared by the individual school districts in their applications to the Ohio Department of Education for Title II funds. Title II funds allotted to school districts by the Ohio Department of Education may be used in conjunction with funds requested in this proposal to the Ohio Board of Regents and such use of these funds is encouraged. The needs of private schools also must be considered and met in these collaborative arrangements.

Colleges and universities are encouraged to determine what other similar initiatives may already exist at their institution, and to work cooperatively with existing initiatives in developing their proposal. Faculties with established programs are encouraged to explore, with their colleagues in other institutions, options for replicating their programs to meet the needs of teachers in other areas of the state. The NCLB law requires any partnership receiving both a subgrant from the SAHE and an award under the Partnership Program for improving teacher preparation in section 203 of Title II of the Higher Education Act to coordinate activities conducted under the two awards.

IV. BUDGET

The Ohio Board of Regents recognizes the need to serve as many teachers and other qualified school personnel as possible with the Improving Teacher Quality Program. The Board is particularly interested in funding proposals for efficient and highly effective projects that take advantage of funds available from other sources when appropriate and available. The size of an award will be determined by factors such as the number of teacher participants served, the complexity of the proposed project,

and the number of participating partners. In no case will proposals be accepted that request more than \$300,000 in OBR Improving Teacher Quality Program funds.

For projects that involve coursework for credit at Ohio colleges and universities, grants may pay for regular **tuition** plus limited additional costs that might not be covered in conventional college courses; **or** grants may pay the **direct costs** of the project. Any additional costs must be fully explained to ensure that there is no duplication of payment. In any case, the grant cannot support both the cost of full tuition for participants and salaries for instruction. Low administrative costs are strongly encouraged.

A. Guidelines

1. Salaries & Benefits - Salaries for instruction cannot be charged to OBR-requested support when the grant is paying for full tuition. This includes faculty, consultants, and teachers when the main activity is course instruction. Salaries for instructors may be requested if the grant is not paying for tuition.

2. Clerical/Administrative Assistance - Must be clearly justified.

3. Cost of Tuition - - If full tuition is requested, salaries for instruction, etc. cannot be charged to the grant.

4. Consultants' Fees - Maximum of \$300 per day plus expenses is suggested. For consultants employed as instructors or peer teachers, fees should not exceed accepted salary levels.

5. Evaluation Consultants - Costs appropriate for a formal and rigorous evaluation.

6. Teacher Stipends - Must be clearly justified and are not to be a salary replacement. **A maximum rate of \$150 per week per participant is permitted.** Stipends are not allowed for days on which participants receive regular pay and/or teacher substitutes are charged to the grant.

7. Teacher Substitutes - Substitutes may be paid at the local rate up to a maximum of \$85 per day. Additional costs for substitutes must be paid by other sources. Federal funds must not be used to pay for teacher substitutes in private schools. These substitutes must be paid with funds from another source.

8. Participants' Living Costs - Reasonable and appropriate expenses for residential programs only.

9. Travel and Conference Expenses for Project Staff and Participants - Limited reasonable expenses for participants at state and local meetings that are integral to the project. Out of state travel is discouraged and can be included in a grant award only when strong justification is provided.

10. Field Trip Expenses - Reasonable and appropriate expenses

11. Supplies and Materials - Justifiable instructional and office supplies and materials.

12. Equipment (Maximum amount of \$500 for each item) - Total equipment costs must be less than 15% of OBR funds. Equipment must be clearly justified as essential for the project operation. Equipment rental is encouraged when possible.

B. General Budget Information

Budgets must adhere to section 2132 (c) of the NCLB Act, which is a Special Rule stating “**no single participant in an eligible partnership may use more than 50% of the funds available to the partnership.**” The participants include: The teacher preparation unit of the IHE; the arts and sciences unit of the IHE; one or more LEAs; and others, as defined in Section III. A. Eligible Institutions. The term “use of funds” applies to all costs of running and administration of the program. In satisfying the

rule, tuition charged to the grant may be regarded as being used by the units of the IHE for salaries, etc., and by the LEAs for teacher support and may be distributed as such.

Salary payments for faculty overload are excluded from payment. **Indirect costs, to a maximum of 8% of the total direct costs may be charged.**

The Code of Federal Regulations Section 34, parts 76, 77, 79, 80, 81 and 85 (i.e., Education Division General Administration Regulations, EDGAR) may be consulted for guidance in budget preparation. The sponsoring IHE is responsible: 1) for ensuring that its audit and accounting procedures are in compliance with OMB Circulars (A-110 [attachment F, subparagraph 2h], A-122, A-128, or A-133); and 2) for supplying OBR with a copy of the audit report for the fiscal year(s) in which those grant monies were expended. Funds awarded under this program may be expended from January 26, 2009, until May 31, 2010.

V. PREPARATION OF PROPOSAL

All proposals must use the format that follows including lettered headings. It is essential that all of the elements of this outline be explicitly addressed and the proposal parts should be presented in the order prescribed here.

A. Proposal Cover Page (Form is in Section X of this RFP)

- Signature(s) of the Project Director(s).
- Signature by a representative of the principal partner High Need LEA.
- Signature of an Institutional Representative (Provost, Chief Academic Officer, etc.) who has the authority to accept and expend grant monies for the IHE.

B. Abstract (Form is in Section X of this RFP)

- One page concise summary of the project, including collaborating groups and participants, types of and time for main activities, and expected outcomes.

C. Table of Contents

D. Cooperative Planning Document (Form is in Section X of this RFP) **and Collaborative Structure**

- Identify all college/university departments, principal partner LEAs, other school districts, and others involved in the partnership.
- Evidence of collaboration and planning must be shown, including meeting dates, places, topics and names of participants and their position.
- Describe the role and contribution of each participating unit.
- Signatures of representatives from all partners involved in project planning are required.

E. Needs

- Identify specific mathematics and science content needs that the project will address and discuss the significance of these needs.
- Provide data relative to qualifying as a "**high need**" LEA, as defined in Section III. Program Guidelines, A. Eligible Institutions on Page 2.
- Additional support for establishing need may come from school and/or district ratings of "Academic Emergency" or "Academic Watch" based on the Ohio Department of Education's School Effectiveness rating system. Report cards by district or region may be obtained at <http://www.ode.state.oh.us/reportcard>
- Consideration for establishing need may also come from districts with an assigned typology group rating of 1, 4, or 5 ("high poverty" or "very high poverty") according to the Typology of Ohio School Districts from the Ohio Department of Education. Typology ratings may be obtained at <http://www.ode.state.oh.us> (search on Typology).

F. Goals and Anticipated Outcomes

- Provide a statement of goals and anticipated outcomes.
- Goals should be measurable.
- Anticipated outcomes must address the effect of the project on the understanding and performance of the target audience, **including student achievement**.

G. Activities

- Describe how the activities will accomplish the goals of the project.
- Provide a detailed description of the proposed activities including: instructional content (subject matter, teaching strategies and student assessment techniques), a timetable, staff person responsible, relationship to project goals, number of contact hours and credit hours offered.
- Provide a description of the type of follow-up sessions planned, including the number of days and number of contact hours.

H. Alignment with Ohio's Academic Content Standards

- Describe in detail how goals, outcomes, and activities align with **Ohio's Academic Content Standards, Performance Standards, and Achievement Test Outcomes**. Information may be obtained at: <http://www.ode.state.oh.us> (search on Academic Content Standards).

I. Achievement and Impact of Previous Project(s)

If the proposed project is an expansion or continuation of an earlier project conducted by the Project Director or proposing institution:

- Provide **specific evidence** of how the previously funded project improved teachers' classroom practice **AND** increased student achievement.
- Indicate the relationship between the success of the previous project(s) and the anticipated outcomes of the proposed project.
- If the previous project is still in progress, provide updated information of the accomplishments to date.

J. Plan for Recruitment of Participants

- Provide a detailed strategy, timetable, and method for recruiting teacher participants from the principal partner High Need LEA and other schools.
- We encourage the inclusion of teachers of children with special needs or limited English proficiency.
- Discuss plans for recruitment of under-qualified and/or out-of-field teachers.
- Include a realistic estimate of the number of participants who will be served by the project.

K. Evaluation Plan

- Actual evaluation methods and/or indicators of success must be described and must be related to the goals and anticipated outcomes.
- Describe the measurable impact that is expected on improving teachers' classroom practice **AND** how it will increase **student achievement**.
- Plans must indicate when the evaluation will be conducted (during the activity, immediately following the program or several months later).
- Care should be taken to select an evaluator who has no close ties to the project or project director. The vitae of the evaluator must be included in the vitae section.

L. Replication and Dissemination

- Describe the possible methods and/or plans for replication of exemplary features.
- Discuss plans for dissemination of results to other educators.

M. Proposal Budget Summary (Form is in Section X of this RFP)

- Itemized budget reflecting both OBR-requested support and any cost-sharing and/or in-kind support.
- Copies of the Budget Summary form must be printed on **blue paper**.

N. Budget Explanation

- Provide a narrative for each cost in the budget. Describe the time involvement, roles, and responsibilities of the project director and staff members.
- Specify cost-sharing (university in-kind support, school district support, leveraged funds from other state and national sources, etc.)
- Be sure that the budget satisfies the **Special Rule - "no single participant in an eligible partnership may use more than 50% of the funds made available to the partnership."**

O. Vitae

- Provide a **one-page vitae** for each of the following: project director, project staff, evaluator, and graduate students and teacher leaders who have a major role in the project.
- Include listings of publications, papers, abstracts, and honors related to the proposed project only.

P. Current Funded Projects and Pending Proposals

- List current funded projects and pending proposals in which the project director and the associated staff members are involved.
- Include: Title of project, project period, percent of individual's annual time or support, total award, and funding agency.
- If there are no funded or pending proposals, enter "none" under this heading.

Q. References Cited

- Cite scientifically-based research that supports your proposal.
- Give full references for any materials cited in the narrative.

R. Intent to Submit Proposal (Form is in Section X of this RFP)

- So that the OBR staff may plan for the proposal review process, please return the INTENT TO SUBMIT PROPOSAL form to the Ohio Board of Regents by October 1, 2008.

VI. PROPOSAL FORMAT

The proposal must be formatted in the following manner:

- Proposal narrative must not exceed **thirteen (13)** pages in length, excluding the cover page, abstract, cooperative planning document, budget summary (**blue paper**), budget explanation, vitae, letters of support, current projects and pending proposals information, and list of references cited.
- Narrative must be **double-spaced**.
- All major subject headings must be underlined and/or highlighted.
- Proper indentation and spacing must be used to offset the headings.
- Use readable print size, no smaller than 11 Times New Roman
- All pages must be numbered.

The Review Panel appreciates clear, concise, complete, carefully written, and proofread proposals that meet all guidelines. Appendices of **reasonable length** (generally less than 15 pages) may be included; however, there is no guarantee that the panel will review them completely. The review and selection process is described in Section VII of this Request for Proposals.

VII. PROPOSAL REVIEW PROCESS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

All proposals will be reviewed and rated by a review panel to be chosen by the Improving Teacher Quality Program Staff of the Ohio Board of Regents. The panel will consist of representatives of appropriate disciplines from colleges, universities, schools, and professional organizations.

Proposals will be judged mainly on information contained in the proposal. However, the Program staff may provide additional information pertaining to previously funded Improving Teacher Quality projects, such as documented ineffective activities or lower than budgeted participant numbers.

Proposals will be evaluated according to the following criteria:

1. Demonstrated Need and the Improvement of Instruction - Evidence of:

- Cooperative planning involving all members of the partnership.
- Agreement that proposed activities will meet the real needs of teachers and schools in the principal partner high-need LEA.
- Project design and evaluation that will provide a measurable improvement in the quality of teaching, the classroom performance of teachers, and measurable improvement in student learning and attitude.
- Demonstrated alignment with Ohio's Academic Content Standards.
- Design that will meet the needs and improve participation rates of under-qualified and out-of-field teachers.
- The extent to which the project could serve as a model that other institutions and schools could use to meet similar local needs.

2. Plan of Operation - Evidence that/of:

- Goals are reasonable, have been clearly identified and are linked to demonstrated needs.
- Anticipated outcomes have a high potential for success.
- Use of appropriate strategies to recruit targeted groups of teachers, especially from the principal partner High Need LEA.
- Schools and teacher leaders were involved in the development of both the proposal and the activity planning.
- Appropriate, high quality activities and intensive follow-up sessions that will be held within a reasonable timetable.
- Proposed activities are practical, but creative, innovative and use research based state-of-the-art knowledge and practices.
- Utilization of inquiry-based/problem-solving instruction, cooperative learning strategies and authentic assessment techniques consistent with state standards.

3. Evaluation - Extent to which the proposed method of evaluation:

- Adequately measures the achievement of the goals, the effectiveness of the project, and uses appropriate individuals as evaluators.
- Provides an evaluation of participant outcomes, i.e., improvement in teacher classroom attitudes, practices, subject matter knowledge **and increased student performance**.

4. Resources - Evidence that/of:

- Resources are adequate for meeting the goals.
- The proposing entity is committed to the project.
- The staff has qualifications and experience appropriate for their assignments.

- The time commitment by the staff is sufficient and appropriate.

5. Budget and Cost Effectiveness - Extent to which:

- The budget is clear, concise, and justified by the proposal narrative.
- Budget is appropriate and reasonable for the stated goals.
- Budget meets the RFP guidelines.
- Additional resources are provided, such as in-kind support, school district support, and matching funds from other local, state, and national sources.

6. Overall Organization - Extent to which:

- Proposed project has been well conceived and is appropriate for funding.
- Proposal format is organized, well-written, concise, complete, and meets the requirements stated in the RFP.

7. Additional Emphases - Extent to which the proposed project:

- Involves a plan to scale up a previously funded project or to replicate and/or disseminate a previously funded project in other regions of the state.
- Includes ways to help teachers assess student performance in the context of the project's activities.
- Utilizes an appropriate meeting site that encourages teacher participation from the principal partner high-need LEA.
- Incorporates a plan to recruit teams of teachers from the same school or district.
- Involves a plan to recruit participants from several high need LEAs.
- Incorporates highly qualified teachers in the planning of, assistance with and instruction of the project's activities.
- Provides strong evidence of improvement in teachers' classroom practice AND increased student achievement from previously funded projects.

Recommendations based on the decisions of the review panel and the availability of funds will be made by January 23, 2009.

VIII. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND DEADLINES

An original and eight copies of the proposal, each stapled in the upper left corner, must be submitted. Please complete the Proposal Checklist and submit with your proposal.

Proposals must be received at the Ohio Board of Regents office by 5:00 p.m. on November 3, 2008. Late or incomplete proposals will not be accepted. We will not accept faxed or e-mailed proposals. Proposals must be submitted to the address below.

Submit proposals to:

**Dr. Russell O. Utgard
Improving Teacher Quality Program
Ohio Board of Regents
30 East Broad Street, 36th floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3414**

IX. AWARD NOTIFICATION AND OTHER PROCEDURAL INFORMATION

Approval of grant awards is expected to be made by January 23, 2009, contingent **upon the availability of funds** from the U.S. Department of Education. A grant will be issued to each successful college or university after the Ohio Board of Regents has received a signed agreement on the terms of the award from the grantee. Those receiving awards shall follow guidelines in the Code of Federal Regulations, including guidelines for all expenditures made in regard to their project.

Documentation of all costs, including costs and verification of assignment (with time sheets) of personnel designated to work on the project, is required. These records will be subject to audit. Interim, supplemental, and final reports are required from each project director receiving a grant. The Ohio Board of Regents will provide the format for these reports.

All institutions submitting a proposal will be notified in writing regarding the funding decision. Unsuccessful applicants may request the opportunity to discuss the evaluation comments with the Board of Regents professional staff. If unsuccessful applicants decide to appeal the decision, they must give written notification within 10 days of receipt of the Board of Regents funding decision. Once the Board of Regents staff receives notification of an appeal, they will respond to the appeal within 30 days. An applicant who is not satisfied with the response may have the appeal referred to an impartial review committee designated by the Ohio Board of Regents. Its determination will be final.

On **Tuesday, September 23, 2008 from 9:00 am - 11:00 am and again at 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm**, we will host an **information session** to provide information about the Improving Teacher Quality Program and to answer questions about the program and the RFP. The meeting will be held in the offices of the Ohio Board of Regents, 30 E. Broad Street, 36th Floor, Columbus, OH. Interested parties should contact Charlet Chisolm at cchisolm@regents.state.oh.us, or (614) 752-8810, if you plan on attending one of these sessions.

Questions regarding The Ohio Board of Regents Improving Teacher Quality program should be addressed to Dr. Russell O. Utgard at (614) 752-9541 or Charlet Chisolm (614) 752-8810. E-mail addresses are: rutgard@regents.state.oh.us or cchisolm@regents.state.oh.us.

X. PROPOSAL FORMS (attached)

1. Proposal Cover Page
2. Abstract
3. Cooperative Planning Document
4. Proposal Budget Summary (two pages)
5. Intent to Submit Proposal
6. Proposal Checklist

XI. APPENDIX I

Definition of Terms (Definitions are from the Draft Guidance for Title II, Part A issued by the U.S. Department of Education on December 19, 2002.)

Highly Qualified Teacher:

- A. When the term "highly qualified teacher" is used with respect to any public elementary school or secondary school teacher teaching in the State, it means that:
- The teacher has obtained full State certification as a teacher (including certification obtained through alternative routes to certification) or passed the State teacher licensing examination, and holds a license to teach in such State, except that when the term is used with respect to any teacher teaching in a public charter school, the term means that the teacher meets the certification or licensing requirements set forth in the State's public charter school law; and
 - The teacher has not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis.
- B. When the term "highly qualified teacher" is used with respect to:
1. An elementary school teacher who is new to the profession, it means that the teacher has met the requirements of paragraph (A) above, and:
 - Holds at least a bachelor's degree; and
 - Has demonstrated, by passing a rigorous State test, subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of basic elementary school curriculum (which may consist of passing a State-required certification or licensing test(s) in reading, writing, and other areas of basic elementary school curriculum); or
 2. A middle school or secondary teacher who is new to the profession, it means that the teacher has met the requirements of paragraph (A) above, holds at least a bachelor's degree, and has demonstrated a high level of competency in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches by:
 - Passing a rigorous State academic subject test in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches (which may consist of a passing level performance on State-required certification or licensing test(s) in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches); or
 - Successful completion, in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches, of an academic major, a graduate degree, coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major, or advanced certification or credentialing.
- C. When the term "highly qualified teacher" is used with respect to an elementary, middle, or secondary school teacher who is not new to the profession, it means that the teacher has met the requirement of paragraph (A) above, holds at least a bachelor's degree, and:
- Has met the applicable standard in the clauses of subparagraph (B), which includes an option for a test; or
 - Demonstrates competence in all the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches based on a high objective uniform State standard of evaluation that-
 - a. Is set by the State for both grade appropriate academic subject matter knowledge and teaching skills;
 - b. Is aligned with challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and developed in consultation with core content specialists, teacher, principals, and school administrators;
 - c. Provides objective, coherent information about the teacher's attainment of core content knowledge in the academic subjects in which a teacher teaches;
 - d. Is applied uniformly to all teachers in the same academic subject and the same grade level throughout the State;
 - e. Takes into consideration, but not be based primarily on, the time the teacher has been teaching in the academic subject;
 - f. Is made available to the public upon request; and
 - g. May involve multiple, objective measures of teacher competency.

Professional Development: The term "professional development":

- A. Includes activities that:
- Improve and increase teachers' knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach, and enable teachers to become highly qualified;
 - Are an integral part of broad school wide and district wide educational improvement plans;

- Give teachers, principals, and administrators the knowledge and skills to provide students with the opportunity to meet challenging State academic content standards and student academic standards;
- Improve classroom management skills;
- Are high quality, sustained, intensive, and classroom-focused in order to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction and the teacher's performance in the classroom and are not 1-day or short-term workshops or conferences;
- Support the recruiting, hiring, and training of highly qualified teachers, including teachers who became highly qualified through State and local alternative routes to certification;
- Advance teacher understanding of effective instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research; and strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers; and
- Are aligned with and directly related to state academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and assessments; and the curricula and programs tied to the standards;
- Are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, parents, and administrators of schools to be served under this Act;
- Are designed to give teachers of limited English proficient children, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula and assessments;
- To extent appropriate, provide training for teachers and principals in the use of technology so that technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and core academic subjects in which the teachers teach;
- As a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement, with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the quality of professional development;
- Provide instruction in methods of teaching children with special needs;
- Include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice; and
- Include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, pupil services personnel, and school administrators may work more effectively with parents; and

B. May include activities that:

- Involve the forming of partnerships with institutions of higher education to establish school-based teacher training programs that provide prospective teachers and beginning teachers with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers and college faculty;
- Create programs to enable paraprofessionals to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers; and
- Provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described in subparagraph (A) or another clause of this subparagraph that is designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the classroom.

Scientifically Based Research: The term "scientifically based research":

A. Means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic, and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs; and

B. Includes research that --

- Employs systematic, empirical methods that draw on observation or experiment;
- Involves rigorous data analyses that are adequate to test the stated hypotheses and justify the general conclusions drawn;
- Relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;
- Is evaluated using experimental or quasi-experimental designs in which individuals, entities, programs, or activities are assigned to different conditions and with appropriate controls to evaluate the effects of the condition of interest, with a preference for random-assignment experiments, or other designs to the extent that those designs contain within-condition or across-condition controls;
- Ensures that experimental studies are presented in sufficient detail and clarity to allow for replication or, at a minimum, offer the opportunity to build systematically on their findings; and
- Has been accepted by a peer-reviewed journal or approved by a panel of independent experts through a comparable rigorous, objective, and scientific review.

XII. APPENDIX II

List of Ohio’s school districts that qualify as high-need LEAs are from the U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Estimates Branch, 2005 School District Estimates, Release date January, 2008.

USSD05 - <i>High Need School Districts Sorted by County</i> Obtained from www.census.gov/hhes/www/saie/downloads/sd05/USSD05.xls					
Table 1: 2005 School district estimates Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Estimates Branch Release date: 01.2008					
District ID	Name	County	Estimated Population 5-17	Estimated number of relevant children 5 to 17 years old in poverty who are related to the household	% Children Living in Poverty (calculated)
06190	ADAMS COUNTY/OHIO VALLEY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Adams	4360	1124	26%
00537	MANCHESTER LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Adams	886	303	34%
04422	LIMA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Allen	6059	1655	27%
04351	ASHTABULA AREA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Ashtabula	5275	1534	29%
04381	CONNEAUT AREA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Ashtabula	2599	639	25%
04590	ALEXANDER LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Athens	1604	329	21%
04591	FEDERAL HOCKING LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Athens	1486	348	23%
04444	NELSONVILLE-YORK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Athens	1277	436	34%
04592	TRIMBLE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Athens	936	368	39%
04520	BARNESVILLE EXEMPTED VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT	Belmont	1318	340	26%
04357	BELLAIRE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Belmont	1763	477	27%
04523	BRIDGEPORT EXEMPTED VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT	Belmont	998	212	21%
04434	MARTINS FERRY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Belmont	1554	392	25%
04537	GEORGETOWN EXEMPTED VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT	Brown	1142	229	20%
04607	RIPLEY-UNION-LEWIS-HUNTINGTON LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Brown	1351	284	21%
04410	HAMILTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Butler	11388	2331	20%
04440	MIDDLETOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Butler	9530	2031	21%
04613	NEW MIAMI LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Butler	870	176	20%
04481	SPRINGFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Clark	10633	3300	31%
04633	FELICITY-FRANKLIN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Clermont	1352	309	23%
04391	EAST LIVERPOOL CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Columbiana	3197	933	29%
04644	SOUTHERN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Columbiana	994	213	21%
04503	WELLSVILLE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Columbiana	933	244	26%
04378	CLEVELAND MUNICIPAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Cuyahoga	92032	36108	39%
04390	EAST CLEVELAND CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Cuyahoga	6375	2794	44%
04419	LAKEWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Cuyahoga	8021	1662	21%
04430	MAPLE HEIGHTS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Cuyahoga	4754	980	21%
04500	WARRENSVILLE HEIGHTS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Cuyahoga	3129	780	25%
04474	SANDUSKY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Erie	4663	1119	24%
10011	WASHINGTON COURT HOUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Fayette	2258	453	20%
04380	COLUMBUS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Franklin	76515	21954	29%
04507	WHITEHALL CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Franklin	3530	805	23%
06568	GALLIA COUNTY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	Gallia	2901	841	29%

04403	GALLIPOLIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Gallia</i>	2418	593	25%
04369	CAMBRIDGE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Guernsey</i>	2822	755	27%
06968	EAST GUERNSEY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Guernsey</i>	1430	329	23%
04730	ROLLING HILLS LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Guernsey</i>	2215	494	22%
04375	CINCINNATI CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Hamilton</i>	58911	15746	27%
04423	LOCKLAND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Hamilton</i>	756	209	28%
04457	NORWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Hamilton</i>	3527	742	21%
04471	ST BERNARD-ELMWOOD PLACE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Hamilton</i>	1431	293	20%
04761	BRIGHT LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Highland</i>	978	210	21%
04776	OAK HILL UNION LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Jackson</i>	1307	320	24%
04502	WELLSTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Jackson</i>	1853	408	22%
04482	STEUBENVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Jefferson</i>	2419	637	26%
10015	PAINESVILLE CITY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lake</i>	3110	885	28%
04529	CHESAPEAKE UNION EXEMPTED VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lawrence</i>	1301	257	20%
04792	DAWSON-BRYANT LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lawrence</i>	1181	335	28%
04793	FAIRLAND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lawrence</i>	1774	406	23%
04414	IRONTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lawrence</i>	1754	529	30%
04794	ROCK HILL LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lawrence</i>	1916	621	32%
04795	SOUTH POINT LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lawrence</i>	2096	470	22%
04796	SYMMES VALLEY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lawrence</i>	829	219	26%
04358	BELLEFONTAINE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Logan</i>	2819	604	21%
04813	CLEARVIEW LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lorain</i>	1396	284	20%
04426	LORAIN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lorain</i>	12543	3464	28%
04490	TOLEDO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Lucas</i>	46880	14548	31%
04370	CAMPBELL CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Mahoning</i>	1605	406	25%
04516	YOUNGSTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Mahoning</i>	14327	4477	31%
04433	MARION CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Marion</i>	5799	1298	22%
04778	BUCKEYE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Medina</i>	2406	473	20%
04852	MEIGS LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Meigs</i>	2020	662	33%
04853	SOUTHERN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Meigs</i>	788	222	28%
04865	SWITZERLAND OF OHIO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Monroe</i>	2772	629	23%
04384	DAYTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Montgomery</i>	30148	9891	33%
04868	JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Montgomery</i>	927	234	25%
04873	NORTHRIDGE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Montgomery</i>	1940	687	35%
04869	TROTWOOD-MADISON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Montgomery</i>	4454	1319	30%
04877	MORGAN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Morgan</i>	2438	594	24%
04885	MAYSVILLE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Muskingum</i>	2009	414	21%
04888	WEST MUSKINGUM LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Muskingum</i>	2110	443	21%
04517	ZANESVILLE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Muskingum</i>	4921	1357	28%
04447	NEW LEXINGTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Perry</i>	2111	490	23%
04906	SOUTHERN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Perry</i>	1019	211	21%
04912	EASTERN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Pike</i>	905	217	24%
04913	SCIOTO VALLEY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Pike</i>	1599	435	27%
04914	WAVERLY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Pike</i>	2062	527	26%
04915	WESTERN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Pike</i>	910	417	46%
04566	WINDHAM EXEMPTED VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Portage</i>	1069	224	21%
04429	MANSFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Richland</i>	6539	1817	28%
04946	PLYMOUTH-SHILOH LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Richland</i>	1185	256	22%
04374	CHILLICOTHE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Ross</i>	3746	772	21%
04950	HUNTINGTON LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Ross</i>	1271	329	26%
04951	PAINT VALLEY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Ross</i>	1122	223	20%
04959	BLOOM-VERNON LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	935	274	29%
10026	CLAY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	571	145	25%
04961	GREEN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	820	192	23%
04962	MINFORD LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	1463	395	27%

04446	NEW BOSTON LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	353	167	47%
04963	NORTHWEST LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	1725	569	33%
10027	PORTSMOUTH CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	3221	1330	41%
04964	VALLEY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	1050	216	21%
04965	WASHINGTON-NILE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	1455	528	36%
04966	WHEELERSBURG LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Scioto</i>	1387	434	31%
04349	ALLIANCE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Stark</i>	3773	990	26%
04371	CANTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Stark</i>	12962	3643	28%
04348	AKRON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Summit</i>	37478	8324	22%
04353	BARBERTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Summit</i>	4674	915	20%
05009	BLOOMFIELD-MESPO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Trumbull</i>	1044	274	26%
04499	WARREN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Trumbull</i>	7637	1953	26%
05039	VINTON COUNTY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Vinton</i>	2500	675	27%
04361	BELPRE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Washington</i>	1317	289	22%
05049	FRONTIER LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Washington</i>	945	226	24%
05051	WOLF CREEK LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	<i>Washington</i>	673	135	20%

**PROPOSAL COVER PAGE
OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE
GRANTS PROGRAM
FY 2008**

Please check appropriate categories

Math Proposal _____
Science Proposal _____
Combined Math/Science _____

New Project _____
Continuation Project _____

1. INSTITUTION & PROJECT DIRECTOR

College/University _____
Street/Building/PO Box _____
City _____ State _____ Zip Code _____
Project Director(s) _____
Address _____
Department _____
Phone # _____ Email _____

2. PROJECT

Title _____
Discipline(s) _____
Number of Teacher Participants _____ Grade Levels _____
Number of Other School Personnel _____
Contact Hours/Participant _____
Main Activities _____
Credit Hours (if any): Graduate _____ Undergraduate _____

3. BUDGET

Requested OBR Funds \$ _____ Total Budget \$ _____
OBR Cost per Teacher Participant _____
Budget based on (check one): Tuition _____ Direct Costs _____

4. COLLABORATING HIGH NEED SCHOOL DISTRICT PRINCIPAL PARTNER

Name (Print) _____ Signature _____
Title _____ High Need School District _____ Date _____

5. CERTIFICATION AND ENDORSEMENT

The applicant certifies that to the best of my knowledge and belief, data in this proposal are true and correct, and those responsible for conducting the activity are requisitely responsible and capable. The institution endorses the goals of the project and agrees to participate and support its costs as outlined in the proposal.

Project Director _____ Title _____
Signature _____ Date _____
Institutional Representative _____ Title _____
Signature _____ Date _____

**OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS PROGRAM**

Abstract FY 2008

Project Title _____
College/University _____
Project Director(s) _____
Discipline(s) _____
Grade Levels _____
Requested OBR Support \$ _____

**OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS PROGRAM**

Cooperative Planning Document FY 2008

(Briefly describe the nature of the cooperation involved in the preparation of this proposal. Include meeting dates, places and topics.)

The following representatives of school districts were among the participants in proposal planning meetings (append names without signatures, of others).

Name, Position, School District	Signature/Date
HIGH NEED LEA PRINCIPAL PARTNER:	
1. _____ _____ _____	_____
OTHER REPRESENTATIVES:	
2. _____ _____ _____	_____
3. _____ _____ _____	_____
4. _____ _____ _____	_____

IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS PROGRAM FY 2008 PROPOSAL BUDGET SUMMARY

INSTITUTION :		
PROJECT DIRECTOR :		
	Requested Program Funds	Other Funds
1. PERSONNEL COSTS (List separately with names & titles)		
A. Key Personnel (Faculty, Administrators) Salaries		
B. Key Personnel Fringe Benefits (at approved rates) (%)		
C. Support Personnel (Clerical, Assistants, etc.) Salaries		
D. Support Personnel Fringe Benefits (At approved rates) (%)		
TOTAL PERSONNEL COSTS (Salaries & Fringe Benefits)		
2. TUITION & FEES		
A. Tuition		
B. Fees (Registration, Instructional fees, etc.)		
3. PARTICIPANT COSTS (Provide details in budget explanation)		
A. Teacher Stipends (Rate of \$150 per 5-day week)		
B. Teacher Substitutes (Paid at local rate - Maximum of \$85/day)		
C. Room & Board		
D. Travel		
E. Books & Materials		
F. Other (Identify)		
TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS		

PROPOSAL BUDGET SUMMARY (CONTINUED)	Requested Program Funds	OtherFunds
4. CONTRACTUAL (Consultants, Evaluators, etc.) (Provide details in budget explanation)		
5. OTHER TRAVEL (Field trips, Meetings) (Provide details in budget explanation)		
6. SUPPLIES/MATERIALS (Provide details in budget explanation)		
A. Instructional Materials		
B. Other (Identify)		
7. EQUIPMENT (Rental, Purchase)		
8. SERVICES (Duplication, Publication, etc.) (Provide details in budget explanation)		
9. OTHER COSTS (Specify - Provide details in budget explanation)		
10. SUBTOTAL COSTS (Sum of items 1-9)		
11. INDIRECT COSTS (8% of subtotal costs)		
12. TOTAL COSTS (Sum of items 10 & 11)		
13. TOTAL REQUESTED PROGRAM FUNDS		

**OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS PROGRAM**

Intent to Submit Proposal FY 2008

Project Director _____

College/University _____

Address _____

Academic Department _____

Title of Proposed Project _____

Check the targeted discipline of your proposal(s)

Science

Mathematics

Combined Math/Science

Check those that will be targeted to apply to your proposal(s):

PreKindergarten

Primary (K-3)

Intermediate (4-6)

Middle (7-8)

High School (9-12)

Special Education, Inclusion or Resource Teachers

Please return by October 1, 2008

Dr. Russell O. Utgard
Improving Teacher Quality Program
Ohio Board of Regents
30 East Broad Street, 36th floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3414
Fax 614-466-5866

**OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS PROGRAM**

Proposal Checklist FY 2008

Project Director _____
Project Title _____

Please complete this checklist and mail it with your proposal.

1. An original and eight (8) copies of the proposal are included.
(All stapled in the upper left corner.)

2. Proposal Cover Page:
 - All blanks completed
 - Signed by the Project Director and Institutional Representative
 - Signed by the Collaborating High Need School District Principal Partner

3. Cooperative Planning Document:
 - Signed by the High Need LEA Principal Partner Representative
 - Signed by all other school district representatives

4. Abstract:
 - All blanks completed

5. Budget Summary & Explanation:
 - Proposal Budget Summary forms on **blue paper**
 - A detailed budget explanation is included

6. **One-page** vitae for key personnel